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1 Planning (15 marks)

Defining the problem (3 marks)

P \( t \) is the independent variable or vary \( t \). [1]
P \( V \) is the dependent variable or measure \( V \). [1]
P Keep the current (in the primary coil) constant. [1]

Methods of data collection (5 marks)

M Diagram showing two independent labelled coils wound on iron cores. [1]
M AC power supply/signal generator connected to one coil. [1]
M Voltmeter/oscilloscope connected to other coil in a workable circuit. [1]
M Measure thickness of card using micrometer/vernier calipers/digital calipers. [1]
M Method to keep current constant – rheostat (or variable power supply) and ammeter correctly positioned in primary circuit and explained. Diagram and text required. [1]

Method of analysis (2 marks)

M Plot a graph of \( \ln V \) against \( t \) (allow \( \log V \) against \( t \)) or \( \ln V/V_0 \) against \( t \) [1]
M \( \sigma = - \) gradient [1]

Safety considerations (1 mark)

S Precaution linked to hot coil(s) e.g. switch off when not in use/do not touch/wear gloves. [1]

Additional detail (4 marks)

D Relevant points might include [4]
1 Use large current (in primary coil)/large number of turns on the secondary to achieve measurable \( V \) (allow more turns on secondary than primary).
2 Keep frequency of power supply constant or keep the number of turns on each coil constant.
3 Use laminated cores or use insulated wire for turns.
4 Repeat measurements of \( t \) and average.
5 Measurement of \( V_0 \) stating that no card is present.
6 Logarithmic equation: \( \ln V = \ln V_0 - \sigma t \)
7 Relationship is valid if the graph is a straight line with y–intercept = \( \ln V_0 \)
8 Discussion of compression of card / measure \( t \) when secured.

Do not allow vague computer methods.

[Total: 15]
2 Analysis, conclusions and evaluation (15 marks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Expected Answer</th>
<th>Additional Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>A1 gradient = (\frac{2g}{Z})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>T1 (v^2/m^2s^{-2})</td>
<td>Allow (v^2 (m^2s^{-2}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>1.96 or 1.960 2.40 or 2.403 2.72 or 2.723 3.06 or 3.063 3.42 or 3.423 3.80 or 3.803</td>
<td>Must be table values. Allow a mixture of significant figures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1</td>
<td>From ± 0.1 to ± 0.2 with uncertainties increasing</td>
<td>Allow more than one significant figure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) (i)</td>
<td>G1 Six points plotted correctly</td>
<td>Must be within half a small square. Penalise “blobs”. Ecf allowed from table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2</td>
<td>Error bars in (v^2) plotted correctly</td>
<td>All error bars to be plotted. Must be accurate to less than half a small square.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) (ii)</td>
<td>G2 Line of best fit</td>
<td>If points are plotted correctly then lower end of line should pass between (0.24, 2.04) and (0.24, 2.10) and upper end of line should pass between (0.42, 3.54) and (0.42, 3.60). Line should not be from top point to bottom point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>Worst acceptable straight line. Steepest or shallowest possible line that passes through all the error bars.</td>
<td>Line should be clearly labelled or dashed. Examiner judgement on worst acceptable line. Lines must cross. Mark scored only if all error bars are plotted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) (iii)</td>
<td>C1 Gradient of best fit line</td>
<td>The triangle used should be at least half the length of the drawn line. Check the read-offs. Work to half a small square. Do not penalise POT. (Should be about 8.4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U3</td>
<td>Uncertainty in gradient</td>
<td>Method of determining absolute uncertainty: difference in worst gradient and gradient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) (i)</td>
<td>C2 (v = \sqrt{\text{gradient} \times h})</td>
<td>Gradient must be used (no substitution methods). Should be between 2.39 and 2.46.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>U4 (\frac{\Delta \text{gradient}}{2 \text{ gradient}} \times 100 = \frac{\Delta v}{v} \times 100)</td>
<td>Allow ecf from (d)(i).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Uncertainties in Question 2

(c) (iii) Gradient [U3]

Uncertainty = gradient of line of best fit – gradient of worst acceptable line

Uncertainty = \( \frac{1}{2} \) (steepest worst line gradient – shallowest worst line gradient)

(d) (ii) [U4]

\[
\text{max } v = \sqrt{\text{max gradient} \times h} \\
\text{min } v = \sqrt{\text{min gradient} \times h}
\]

(e) [U5]

\[
\text{max } K = m r^2 \left( \frac{2g}{\text{min gradient}} - 1 \right) \\
\text{min } K = m r^2 \left( \frac{2g}{\text{max gradient}} - 1 \right)
\]