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Imagine that you attended the ‘Running with the Bulls’ event described in Passage A.

Write a letter of protest to the organisers. In your letter, persuade the organisers that the event should be stopped.

Begin your letter ‘Dear Organisers…’.

Base your letter on what you have read in passage A. Be careful to use your own words.

Write between 1½ and 2 sides, allowing for the size of your handwriting.

Up to 15 marks are available for the content of your answer, and up to 5 marks for the quality of your writing. [20]

General notes on likely content

Candidates should select ideas from the passage and develop these, supporting what they write with details from the passage.

Good answers will develop arguments with persuasion, often sustained and strong. Details from the passage will be adapted to suit those arguments. Basic answers will tend to be a statement of a few arguments with little effective development, and with details presented as narrative. Look for full answers, providing a range of effective arguments and making wide and varied use of the passage.

For quality of writing, look for a clear, persuasive style and a sound structure for the letter as a whole. Credit sequences of sentences within an argument. Credit introductions that set the tone (though introductions may slightly contribute to the reading mark as well). Credit the way that arguments are ordered (e.g. those concerning the bulls in one place, those concerning people in another.)
Responses may include the following ideas:

Consider that the lack of organisation/publicity has the following negative effects:

**A Effects on people**

- Range of injuries sustained from minor to hospitalisation.
- Anybody can get involved – no experience/preparation – dangerous.
- Participants are laughing stock.
- Only males take part in the activity – designed for fishermen to show off how manly they are.
- No-one won the prize so pointless.
- Only people who had any success were the man who foolishly seized the bull by the horns and an old man with a lasso in a rowing boat!
- Annoying/distressing for tourists who want to sit on beach/enjoy time in public areas of hotels.

**B Impact on the bulls**

- Traumatised by transportation to beach.
- Traumatised by noise and general hubbub.
- Escape seaward can lead to long time to drown.
- Lassoing of horns/degrading to bulls.

**C Effects on the town**

- Off-putting to type of tourists this 'genteel' resort attracts.
- Causes chaos (general point).
- Cost of damage (individuals), e.g. to hotels when bulls run amok/replace damaged sunbeds/farmers loss due to drowned bulls.
- Cost (municipal) of cleaning up the beach afterwards.
- Impact on medical infrastructure.
- Some reference to the future of the town if event allowed to continue.

Credit the use of detail about the efforts of the contestants.

Accept other relevant ideas derived from the passage and relevant to the question as a basis for the letter.
Marking Criteria for Question 1

A CONTENT (EXTENDED TIER)

Use the following table to give a mark out of 15.

| Band 1: 13–15 | The answer reveals a **thorough** reading of the passage. A wide range of well-developed and convincing arguments is applied to the question. There is an appropriate amount of supporting detail, which is well adapted and integrated into the letter, contributing to a consistently strong sense of purpose and approach. An ability to establish facts from the humorous façade of the article adds to the overall effect. |
| Band 2: 10–12 | There is evidence of a **competent** reading of the passage. The letter explains some of the arguments well and expresses some feelings. Some ideas are developed, but the ability to sustain content may not be consistent. All parts of the letter may not be equally effective. There is some supporting detail throughout. |
| Band 3: 7–9 | The passage has been read **reasonably well**, but the response may not reflect the range and detail of the original. There may be evidence of a mechanical use of the passage. There is focus on the task and satisfactory reference, but opportunities for development are not always taken. Some supporting detail is used, but not consistently. |
| Band 4: 4–6 | Some reference to the passage is made with little development. The response may be thin or in places lack focus on the passage or the question, but there is some evidence of **general understanding** of the main ideas. |
| Band 5: 1–3 | The response is either very general with little specific reference to the passage or a **reproduction** of sections of the original. Content is insubstantial or there is little realisation of the need to modify material from the passage. |
| Band 6: 0 | There is little or no relevance to the question or to the passage. |
**B QUALITY OF WRITING: STRUCTURE AND ORDER, STYLE OF LANGUAGE (EXTENDED TIER)**

Use the following table to give a mark out of 5.

| Band 1: 5 | The language of the letter is persuasive, expresses feeling and is consistently appropriate. There is a clear sense of audience. Arguments are firmly expressed in a wide range of effective and/or interesting language. Structure and sequence is sound throughout. |
| Band 2: 4 | Language is mostly fluent and there is clarity of expression. There is some sense of audience. There is a sufficient range of vocabulary to express some arguments persuasively and to develop them with some clarity. The response is mainly well structured and well sequenced. |
| Band 3: 3 | Language is clear and appropriate, but comparatively plain, even when expressing feelings. The sense of audience is slight, though the style is generally consistent. Individual ideas are rarely extended, but explanations are adequate. There may be flaws in structure but some sections are well sequenced. |
| Band 4: 2 | There may be some awkwardness of expression and some inconsistency of appropriate style. The sense of audience is weak. Language is too limited to express shades of meaning. There is structural weakness and there may be some copying. |
| Band 5: 1 | There are problems of expression and structure. Language is weak and undeveloped. The letter may not start well and the purpose for writing may not be clear. There is little attempt to explain thoughts and emotions. There may be frequent copying from the original. |
| Band 6: 0 | Sentence structures and language are unclear and the response is difficult to follow. |
Question 2

This question tests Reading Objective R4 (10 marks):
• understand how writers achieve effects.

Re-read the descriptions of:

(a) the town of Cascais in paragraph 1, beginning ‘Cascais, along the coast...’;

(b) the atmosphere and the events as the ‘Running with the Bulls’ is about to begin in paragraph 3, beginning ‘The bull run takes place...’.

Select words and phrases from these descriptions, and explain how the writer has created effects by using this language. [10]

General notes on likely content

This question is marked for the ability to select evocative or unusual words and for an understanding of ways in which the language is effective. Expect responses to provide words that carry specific meaning, including implications, additional to general and to ordinary vocabulary. Alternative acceptable explanations should be credited.

Mark for the overall quality of the response, not for the number of words chosen. Responses could score full marks for excellent comments on comparatively few choices for each part of the question, provided that they are quality choices which include imagery. Do not take marks off for inaccurate statements; simply ignore them. It is the quality of the analysis that attracts marks.

The following notes are a guide to what good responses might say about the selected words. They can make any sensible comment, but only credit comments that are relevant to the correct meanings of the words and that have some validity.
(a) The town of Cascais in paragraph 1

The description has a series of sub-themes linked by the choice of words. Responses may give an overview that Cascais is quaint, colourful, genteel or even unreal. Better responses may be able to follow the themes (brightness, stateliness, the unusual walkways, and finally those things that threaten to break/shatter the tranquillity). Good responses will comment on the juxtaposition of ‘genteel’ and ‘shatter’.

The postcard image: must be tackled for the award of top marks. They might liken the town to a postcard picture in simple ways, such as the colour, or make a more effective interpretation such as it is a place where everywhere is photogenic or that it is almost too good to be true.

**Scintillating** and **shone like fire**: they may see a link here. These words are not to be underestimated for their ability to communicate extreme brightness, and again, good responses should try to explain the ‘fire’ image.

**Stately** and **resplendent**: suggest a new idea: that of majesty, fine houses that stand out and attract the eye, ‘stately’ connected with the people who live(d) there and ‘resplendent’ connected with the idea of splendour.

**Smart coats of colour wash**: personification of houses showing off their splendour.

**Gentle waves** (description of the pattern in the walkways): Candidates need to be clear about this, because it is the pattern that laps, and not water.

**(Deftly)**: if everything were not perfect already, the fishing boats manoeuvre ‘deftly’, in and out with finesse and care.

**(Bobbing)**: describing the movement of the boats – an up and down movement, but equally without any disturbance to the scene.

**Reverberated**: captures the physical vibration of the great noise.

**Gargantuan**: the giant nature of the shopping centre is exaggerated.
(b) The atmosphere and the events as the ‘Running with the Bulls’ is about to begin in paragraph 3

Candidates will have to work a little harder in this section, but will do well if they give an overview of confusion and some danger. A few responses may rightly point to the attitude of the writer.

**Chaos:** although this possibly refers to a former time, it is relevant to this exercise in view of the description of the arrival of the bulls. Responses which give a picture of everyone running backwards and forwards have a good understanding of the passage.

**Confusion:** also gives a good visual picture of people running in all directions, probably making a lot of noise.

**Fled:** responses may link this, perhaps to the ‘confusion’. This word is linked to disaster.

**(Hundreds)** of young men: again, good responses will demonstrate understanding that there were not literally ‘hundreds’ of men, although it may have seemed that there were. Credit those who point out the **exaggeration**.

**Descended:** nor did they really ‘descend’, at least not literally so – the word is often used of an army descending on another, or an animal on its prey.

**Gesturing frantically:** the confusion and the sense of danger are maintained by their movement. ‘Gesturing’ gives the effect of wild movement to no particular purpose, while ‘frantically’ suggests panic and impending doom.

**(Fairly) menacing:** the arrival of the bulls is heralded by this word, which suggests their stance and their appearance is very dangerous.

**Baffled:** this word contributes to the general sense of confusion and of not understanding what is about to happen.

**Even:** tongue-in-cheek comment on tourists who obsessively save towels implies no time to collect other possessions.
## Marking Criteria for Question 2

**READING**

Use the following table to give a mark out of 10.

| Band 1: 9–10 | Wide ranging discussion of language with some high quality comments that add meaning and associations to words in both parts of the question, and demonstrate the writer's reasons for using them. May give an overview of the paragraph's combined effect. Tackles images with some precision and imagination. There is clear evidence that the candidate understands how language works. |
| Band 2: 7–8 | Reference is made to a number of words and phrases, and some explanations are given and effects identified in both parts of the question. Images are recognised as such and the response goes some way to explain them. There is some evidence that the candidate understands how language works. |
| Band 3: 5–6 | A satisfactory attempt is made to identify appropriate words and phrases. The response mostly gives meanings of words and any attempt to suggest and explain effects is basic or very general. One half of the question may be better answered than the other. The response may correctly identify linguistic devices but not explain why they are used. |
| Band 4: 3–4 | The response provides a mixture of appropriate choices and words that communicate less well. Explanations may be few, slight and/or only partially effective. They may repeat the language of the original or do not refer to specific words. |
| Band 5: 1–2 | The choice of words is sparse or only partly relevant. While the question has been understood, comments are generalised, the response is very thin or only one part of the question has been attempted. |
| Band 6: 0 | The response does not relate to the question. Inappropriate words and phrases are chosen or none are selected. |
Question 3

This question tests Reading Objectives R1–R3 (15 marks):
- understand and collate explicit meanings
- understand, explain and collate implicit meanings and attitudes
- select, analyse and evaluate what is relevant to specific purposes.

AND Writing Objectives W1–W5 (5 marks):
- articulate experience and express what is thought, felt and imagined
- order and present facts, ideas and opinions
- understand and use a range of appropriate vocabulary
- use language and register appropriate to audience and context
- make accurate and effective use of paragraphs, grammatical structures, sentences, punctuation and spelling.

Summarise:

(a) how safety and order are promoted at the Pamplona Bull Run, as described in Passage B;

(b) the reasons why the local people of Cascais enjoy the ‘Running with the Bulls’, as described in Passage A.

Use your own words as far as possible.

You should write about 1 side in total, allowing for the size of your handwriting.

Up to 15 marks are available for the content of your answer, and up to 5 marks for the quality of your writing. [20]

A CONTENT

Give one mark per point up to a maximum of 15.

(a) How safety and order are promoted at the Pamplona Bull Run (Passage B)

1a. Signal to warn of start.
1b. Physical barrier is designed to keep the crowd safe.
2. The runners are approved/easily identifiable/in place half an hour before the run.
3. They wear sensible footwear/clothes.
4. There are police to control the crowd.
5. There are gaps in the barricades to help the runners to escape.
6. Medical help is present.
7. Runners protect themselves with rolled-up newspapers.
8. Running the wrong way up the streets/impeding the run is forbidden.
9. Photography is banned.
10. It is forbidden for people to upset the bulls.
11. Residents must keep doors shut.
12. Spectators forbidden to go onto/hide along the route.

Note: Do not accept ‘safety rules’.
(b) The reasons why the local people of Cascais enjoy the ‘Running with the Bulls’ (Passage A)

13. The appearance of the participants/enjoy the spectacle.
15. People jeer at the men.
16. Amusing/dramatic behaviour of bulls going out to sea.
17. Spectators retell stories of past events/express their knowledge (Do not accept ‘bovine expertise’).
18. Enjoy involvement of reckless passers-by/discomfiting the tourists.
19. Drama of people being rushed off in ambulances.
20. They might win the codfish.
21. They could bet on a winner.
22. They proudly show off their wounds to each other.
Marking Criteria for Question 3

B QUALITY OF WRITING: CONCISION, FOCUS AND WRITING IN OWN WORDS

Use the following table to give a mark out of 5.

| Band 1: 5 | Both parts of the summary are well focused on the passage and the question, and are expressed concisely, clearly and fluently, and in own words throughout (where appropriate). |
| Band 2: 4 | Most points are made clearly and concisely. Own words (where appropriate) are used consistently. The summary is mostly focused on the passages and question but may have an inappropriate introduction or conclusion. |
| Band 3: 3 | There are some areas of concision. There may be occasional loss of focus or clarity. Own words (where appropriate) are used for most of the summary. Response may be list-like or not well sequenced. |
| Band 4: 2 | The summary is occasionally focused, but there may be examples of comment, repetition, unnecessarily long explanation and/or some quotations in lieu of explanation. The response may exceed the permitted length. |
| Band 5: 1 | The summary lacks focus and is wordy, or is over long. It may be answered in the wrong form (e.g. a narrative or a commentary or as notes). There may be frequent lifting of phrases and sentences. |
| Band 6: 0 | Excessive lifting; no focus; excessively long. |

It is important that candidates follow the instruction about writing a side in total for the summary, allowing for the size of the handwriting. The guidelines are as follows: large handwriting is approximately 5 words per line, average handwriting is 8/9 words per line, and small handwriting is 11 and more. Typed scripts consist of approximately 15 words per line.

Note: A few candidates will copy the passage word for word or almost so or write in note form. These candidates will be penalised.