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PHYAB6: Practical and Investigative Skills in A2 Physics

| Section A Task 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (a) (i) and (ii) | results: | $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, each from $n T$ where $n$ or $\Sigma n \geq 20$, consistent recording of $n T$ sensible values to 0.1 s or 0.01 s <br> $T_{1}$ must be $>T_{2} \checkmark$ <br> withhold this mark if the same criteria are not applied in question 2 where $T_{3}$ must be $>T_{4}$ <br> withhold mark if no unit is seen in 1 (a) and in 2(a)(i)/(ii) | 1 |
|  | (b)(i) | assumption: | springs have same stiffness [spring constant] $\checkmark$ | 1 |
|  | (b)(ii) |  | evaluates $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$; correct substitution of $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $m_{1} \checkmark$ (allow $m=200 \mathrm{~g}$ and don't penalise for missing / wrong unit for $k$ ) <br> correctly evaluates $\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}$ and compares with 2 [correctly calculates percentage difference between $\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}$ and predicted outcome (of 2)/ correctly evaluates $2 k_{1}$ and compares with $k_{2}$ etc] $2^{r}$ |  |
|  |  | method: | [evaluates $\sqrt{2} \times \frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}}, ~ \checkmark$; <br> compares with 1 [correctly calculates percentage difference between $\sqrt{2} \times \frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}}$ and predicted outcome (of 1) ${ }_{2} \sqrt{ }$ ] [evaluates $T_{2}{ }^{2}$ and $T_{1}{ }^{2}{ }_{1} \downarrow$; correctly evaluates $\frac{T_{1}^{2}}{T_{2}^{2}}$ [correctly calculates percentage difference between $\frac{T_{1}^{2}}{T_{2}^{2}}$ and predicted outcome (of 2) ${ }_{2} \checkmark$ ] [evaluates $T_{2}{ }^{2}$ and $T_{1}{ }^{2}{ }_{1} \vee$; <br> correctly evaluates $2 \times \frac{T_{2}^{2}}{T_{1}^{2}}$ [correctly calculates percentage difference between result and predicted outcome (of 1 ) ${ }_{2} \checkmark$ ] | 2 |
|  |  | conclusion: | result in (a) produces $\left(\frac{T_{1}}{T_{2}}\right)^{2}$ in range 1.95 to 2.05 or $2.0_{3} \downarrow$ states that prediction is correct ${ }_{4} \checkmark$ [result in (a) produces $\left(\frac{T_{1}}{T_{2}}\right)^{2}<1.90$ or $>2.10$; states that prediction is incorrect ${ }_{34} \checkmark$; $\left(\frac{T_{1}}{T_{2}}\right)^{2}$ between 1.90 to 1.95 or between 2.05 to 2.10; can state either that prediction is correct or incorrect ${ }_{34} \checkmark$ ] | 2 |



| 2 | (a)(iii) | accuracy: | $k$ in range 3.74 to 4.58 or 2 sf between 3.8 and $4.5{ }_{1} \checkmark$ $\mathrm{m}^{-2}{ }_{2} \checkmark$ (answers with $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ should be $\times 10^{-4}$ ) <br> $\max 4 \mathrm{sf}$ : note that this is the only part of Section A where excessive sf are penalised <br> for $x_{2}=300 \mathrm{~mm}$ mark as follows: <br> $k$ in range 3.81 to 4.65 or 2 sf between 3.9 and $4.6{ }_{1} \checkmark$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (b) | explanation: | valid procedure $1_{1} \checkmark$ with appropriate explanation $2^{\checkmark}$ <br> - explanation mark is only awarded when it is relevant to a correct procedure <br> - one procedure/explanation allowed per response <br> - no credit for conflicting statements or wrong physics <br> any two from: <br> time multiple oscillations [lengthen time over which timing carried out] ${ }_{1} \checkmark$ <br> to reduce percentage error (condone 'uncertainty' (in period)) <br> [to reduce the impact [effect] of human [random] error / reaction time] ${ }_{2} \checkmark$ <br> and/or <br> repeat (timing measurements) $1^{\checkmark}$ <br> to detect anomalous results so these can be eliminated ${ }_{2} \checkmark$ <br> (reject 'to reduce impact [effect] of anomalous results') <br> and/or <br> use 'count down' technique ${ }_{1} \checkmark$ <br> to reduce chance of systematic error [miscounting cycles] $2^{\checkmark}$ <br> and/or <br> set oscillator in motion but wait before starting timing [until transient oscillations have dissipated] $1^{\checkmark}$ <br> to ensure period is constant ${ }_{2} \checkmark$ <br> and/or <br> use a fiducial mark at the centre of oscillation (can be shown in a sketch but the fiducial mark must be at the free end of the ruler) ${ }_{1}$ <br> since this is where transit time is least [oscillator is moving fastest] $2^{\checkmark}$ <br> and/or <br> view oscillations at right angles to the motion $1_{1} \checkmark$ <br> to reduce parallax error ${ }_{2} \checkmark$ <br> and/or <br> ensured that amplitude of oscillations was small ${ }_{1} \checkmark$ <br> so period was constant [to ensure shm / to ensure springs obey Hooke's Law] ${ }_{2} \downarrow$ | MAX 4 |
|  |  |  |  | 16 |

AQA

## Section A Task 2

| 1 | (a) | accuracy: | $V_{0}$, value sensible, to nearest 0.1 V or to nearest $0.01 \mathrm{~V} \checkmark$ deduct SF mark in (b) if inconsistent precision between (a) and (b); unit must be supplied | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | tabulation: | $\begin{array}{lllllll}V_{1} & / V & V_{2} & / V & t & / s & \checkmark\end{array}$ <br> deduct this mark for any missing label or separator; accept all data in one single table or separate tables for $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ with $t$ to appear in each <br> (don't penalise here and in (a) for missing unit with $V$ ) | 1 |
|  | (b) | results: | at least 7 sets of $V_{1}$ and $t$ including $t=0, V_{1}=V_{0}( \pm 1 \%)$ <br> and $t=60$ (eg average interval of 10 s$)_{1}$ <br> at least 7 sets of $V_{2}$ and $t$ including $t=0, V_{2}=0(.00)$, <br> and $t=60(\text { eg average interval of } 10 \mathrm{~s})_{2} \downarrow$ <br> at least 6 sets of $V_{1}$ and 6 sets of $V_{2}$, average interval of 10 s but missing $t=0$ data ${ }_{12} \checkmark$ <br> both $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ from repeated readings ${ }_{3} \checkmark$ <br> deduct 1 mark if $t$ is not in the left-hand column of a coherent table [in two tables if $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are shown separately / in the top row where the data is arranged in rows] | 3 |
|  |  | significant figures: | all (raw) $V$ to nearest 0.1 V or all to nearest 0.01 V ; tolerate $V_{2}=0$ (ie 1 sf ) at $\mathrm{t}=0$ | 1 |
|  | (c) | axes: | marked V/V (vertical) and $t / \mathrm{s}$ (horizontal) deduct $1 / 2$ for each missing label or separator, rounding down; no mark if axes reversed either or both marks may be lost if the interval between the numerical values is marked with a frequency of $>5 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 2 |
|  |  | scales: | points should cover at least half the grid horizontally $\checkmark$ and half the grid vertically <br> (the origin must be shown on this graph unless the $t=0$ data set has not been tabulated; either or both marks may be lost for use of a difficult or non-linear scale) | 2 |
|  |  | points: | all tabulated points plotted correctly (check at least two on each line including any anomalous points) <br> 1 mark is deducted for every point missing and for every point > 1 mm from correct position <br> deduct 1 mark if any point is poorly marked; no credit for false data | 3 |
|  |  | $V_{1}$ line and quality: | smooth curve of decreasing negative gradient commencing at $V_{1}=V_{0}$ at $t=0 \mathrm{~s}$ and continuing to $t=60 \mathrm{~s}$, suitably labelled; at least 6 points to $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ of a suitable line, adjusting for any mis-plots; adjust $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ criterion if the graph is poorly scaled $\checkmark$ | 1 |


|  |  | smooth curve of decreasing positive gradient rising from <br> $V_{2}=0$ at $t=0$ s to a peak between $t=25 \mathrm{~s}$ and $t=40 \mathrm{~s}$ then <br> quality: | smooth curve of increasing negative gradient continuing to <br> $t=60 \mathrm{~s}$, suitably labelled; at least 6 points to $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ of a <br> suitable line, adjusting etc $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |


| Section B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (a)(i) | valid attempt at gradient calculation and correct transfer of data or ${ }_{12} \checkmark=0$ tangent [normal] drawn to $V_{2}$ curve where $V_{1}=V_{2}$ and correct transfer of $y$ and $x$-step between graph and calculation ${ }_{1}$ <br> (mark is withheld if points used to determine either step > 1 mm from correct position on grid; if tabulated points are used these must lie on the line) <br> $y$-step and $x$-step both at least 8 semi-major grid squares <br> [5 by 13 or 13 by 5] $2^{\checkmark}$ <br> (if a poorly-scaled graph is drawn the hypotenuse of the gradient triangle should be extended to meet the $8 \times 8$ criteria) | 2 |
| 1 | (a)(ii) | $\frac{V_{\mathrm{e}}}{G}$, at least 2 sf , in range 35.2 s to 47.6 s [accept 2 sf in range 36 to 47 ] <br> [29.0 s to 53.8 s or 2 sf in range 30 to 35 , or in range 48 to $53 \checkmark$ ] | 2 |
| 1 | (b)(i) | $\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}$, no unit, in range 2.03 to $2.25,2.1$ or 2.2 <br> min 2 sf and max 4sf answer: note that this is the only part of Section B where excessive sf are penalised | 1 |
| 1 | (b)(ii) | $V_{1}$ contributes most to the percentage uncertainty in $\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}$ or 0/2: <br> (when $V_{2}$ is a maximum) $V_{1}$ is smaller (than $\left.V_{2}\right)_{1} \checkmark$ <br> idea that a small error in the estimation of the time where $V_{2}$ is a maximum produces a large error in $V_{12^{\checkmark}}$ | 2 |
| 1 | (b)(iii) | tick next to No current is flowing (only); accept other clear means of identifying this response | 1 |
| 1 | (b)(iv) | (since $\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}=\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}$ ) current in R1 = current in R2 $\downarrow$ <br> current flowing in to terminal $Y=$ current flowing out of terminal $Y$ (hence no current can flow to C2 from Y or in to Y from C2) $2^{\checkmark}$ <br> $\left[\right.$ from $\left(Q=C \times V ; \frac{d Q}{d t}=C \times \frac{d V}{d t} ; \therefore\right) I=C \times \frac{d V}{d t} 1^{\checkmark}$ <br> when $\left.\frac{d V}{d t}=0, I=0{ }_{2} \sqrt{ }\right]$ <br> [current reverses after the moment that $V_{2}$ is a maximum or before ( $V_{2}$ is a maximum) the current is towards C 2 [away from $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{C} 2$ charges up] and <br> after ( $V_{2}$ is a maximum) the current is away from C 2 [towards Y / current reverses / C2 discharges] ${ }_{12} \checkmark=1$ MAX] | 2 |


| 1 | (c) | curve of decreasing negative gradient (allow straight line of negative <br> gradient) starting at $(0,3.95 \pm 0.05)_{1} \checkmark$ <br> ending at $(60,2.70 \pm 0.05)_{2} \checkmark$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |


|  | (a) | systematic error in $y$ would produce a (non-zero) intercept [graph is transformed / line shifted / points shifted by the same amount] $\checkmark$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (b)(i) | either $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{s}^{-2}$ or $\mathrm{Nm}^{-1}$ or $\mathrm{Jm}^{-2} \checkmark$ | 1 |
| 2 | (b)(ii) | gradient is increased [steeper] or 0/2 ${ }_{1} \checkmark$ <br> (for same $x$, ) y values are proportionally bigger [bigger by same fraction], or (for same $y$,) $x$ values are proportionally smaller [smaller by same fraction, or because $\frac{2 s \gamma}{g t \rho}$ is the gradient $]_{2} \checkmark$ | 2 |
|  | (c) | plot $\left(h+\frac{r}{3}\right)$ against $\frac{1}{r}$ or vice-versa; the suggested plot must be linear ${ }_{1} \checkmark$ [other variations are possible] valid method of obtaining $\gamma$ using the gradient of the graph, eg for $\left(h+\frac{r}{3}\right)$ on vertical axis against $\frac{1}{r}$, gradient $=\frac{2 \gamma}{g \rho}\left(\text { hence } \gamma=\text { gradient } \times \frac{g \rho}{2}\right)_{2} \checkmark$ | 2 |
|  | (d)(i) | $\begin{aligned} & I_{1}\left(=R_{2}-R_{1}=11.51-2.92\right)=8.59 \mathrm{~cm} \text { and } I_{2}\left(=R_{4}-R_{3}=9.07-3.85\right)=5.22 \\ & \left.\mathrm{~cm}\left[I_{1}-I_{2}=3.37 \mathrm{~cm}\right]{ }_{1} \checkmark \text { (reject truncation to } 2 \mathrm{sf} 8.6 \text { and } 5.2\right) \\ & \text { method: } r=\sqrt{\frac{m}{\rho \pi\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)}}\left[r^{2}=\frac{m}{\rho \pi\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)}\right] 2^{\checkmark} \\ & r=1.36 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}\left[1.359 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} \text { to } 4 \mathrm{sf}\right]{ }_{3} \checkmark \\ & \text { (ecf for wrong }{ }_{1} \checkmark \text { but no credit for POT errors; reject } 2 \mathrm{sf} 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} \text { unless } \\ & \text { data has been truncated to lose }{ }_{1} \checkmark \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 3 |
|  | (d)(ii) | uncertainty in $\left(I_{1}-I_{2}\right)= \pm 0.20 \mathrm{~cm}_{1} \checkmark$ <br> percentage uncertainty in $\left(I_{1}-I_{2}\right)=\left[\frac{0.20}{3.37} \times 100\right](=5.9(3) \% \text { or } 6 \%)_{2} \checkmark$ <br> (ecf if uncertainty in $\left(I_{1}-I_{2}\right)= \pm 0.10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) <br> percentage uncertainty in $r\left(=0.5 \times\right.$ percentage uncertainty in $\left.\left(I_{1}-I_{2}\right)\right)$ $=2.9(7) \%$ [accept $2 \mathrm{sf} 3.0 \%$ or 1sf $3 \%]_{3} \downarrow$ <br> (ecf for wrong ${ }_{2} \downarrow$; reject $2.9 \%$ ) | 3 |
|  |  |  | 24 |

