

4769

Mark Scheme

June 2013

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	(i)	$P(X = x) = \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^x}{x!}$ $L = \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{x_1}}{x_1!} \dots \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{x_n}}{x_n!} = \frac{e^{-n\theta} \theta^{\sum x_i}}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!}$ $\ln L = -n\theta + \sum x_i \ln \theta - \sum \ln x_i!$ $\frac{d \ln L}{d\theta} = -n + \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta}$ $= 0 \text{ for ML Est } \hat{\theta}.$ $\therefore n\hat{\theta} = \sum x_i, \quad \text{i.e. } \hat{\theta} = \bar{x}.$ <p>Confirmation that this is a maximum:</p> $\frac{d^2 \ln L}{d\theta^2} = -\frac{\sum x_i}{\theta^2} < 0.$	<p>M1 A1</p> <p>M1 A1</p> <p>M1 A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[10]</p>	<p>M1 for general product form. A1 (a.e.f.) for answer.</p> <p>M1 is for taking logs (base e). Allow (\pm) constant instead of last term.</p> <p>M1 for differentiating, A1 for answer.</p> <p>Any or all of the four M1 marks down to here can be awarded in part (iv) if not awarded here.</p>
1	(ii)	$P(X = 0) = e^{-\theta}.$ <p>ML Est of $e^{-\theta} = e^{-\hat{\theta}}$, i.e. the estimate is $e^{-\bar{x}}$.</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>M1 A1</p> <p>[3]</p>	<p>M1 for "invariance property", not necessarily named.</p>
1	(iii)	<p>We have estimate of $P(X = 0) = e^{-5} = 0.0067$, so we might reasonably expect around $1000e^{-5} \approx 6.7$ cases of zero in a sample of size 1000</p> <p>– finding no such cases seems suspicious.</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>[3]</p>	<p>Sensible use of $n = 1000$ and $\bar{x} = 5$.</p>

4769

Mark Scheme

June 2013

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1 (iv)	<p>X has Poisson distribution "scaled up" so that , therefore ,</p> <p>where $1 = k \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\theta} \theta^x}{x!} = k \left\{ \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\theta} \theta^x}{x!} - e^{-\theta} \right\} = k \{1 - e^{-\theta}\} .$</p> <p>$\therefore k = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\theta}} .$</p> <p>$\therefore P(X = x) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\theta}} \cdot \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^x}{x!} = \frac{\theta^x}{(e^{\theta} - 1)x!}$ [for $x = 1, 2, \dots$].</p> <p>$\therefore L = \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i}}{(e^{\theta} - 1)^n x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!}$</p> <p>$\therefore \ln L = \ln \theta^{\sum x_i} - n \ln(e^{\theta} - 1) - \sum \ln x_i !$</p> <p>$\therefore \frac{d \ln L}{d \theta} = \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} - \frac{ne^{\theta}}{e^{\theta} - 1}$,</p> <p>and on setting this equal to zero we get that $\hat{\theta}$ satisfies</p> <p>$\frac{\theta e^{\theta}}{e^{\theta} - 1} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n} = \bar{x} .$</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[8]</p>	<p>M1 for this idea, however expressed.</p> <p>M1 for sum from 0 to ∞ minus value for $x = 0$.</p> <p>Beware printed answer.</p> <p>Beware printed answer.</p>
2 (i)	<p>(A) $\mu = 0$.</p> <p>(B) $E(X^2) = 8/3$.</p> <p>(C) $\text{Var}(X) = 8/3$.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>[3]</p>	
2 (ii)	<p>Mgf of X is $M_X(\theta) = E(e^{\theta X})$</p> <p>$= \left(e^{-2\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) + \left(e^0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) + \left(e^{2\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right)$</p> <p>$= \frac{1}{3} (1 + e^{2\theta} + e^{-2\theta}) .$</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[2]</p>	<p>Any equivalent form.</p>

4769

Mark Scheme

June 2013

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2 (iii)	<p>General results: [Convolution theorem] Mgf of sum of independent random variables = product of their mgfs. [Linear transformation result] $M_{aX+b}(\theta) = e^{b\theta} M_X(a\theta)$.</p> $M_{\Sigma X}(\theta) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} (1 + e^{2\theta} + e^{-2\theta}) \right\}^n$ $M_{\bar{X}}(\theta) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + e^{\frac{2\theta}{n}} + e^{-\frac{2\theta}{n}} \right) \right\}^n$ $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \frac{n}{\sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \frac{1}{3})}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{3}}}$ $M_Z(\theta) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + e^{\frac{2\sqrt{3}n\theta}{n2\sqrt{2}}} + e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{3}n\theta}{n2\sqrt{2}}} \right) \right\}^n$ $= \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + e^{\frac{\theta\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2n}}} + e^{-\frac{\theta\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2n}}} \right) \right\}^n.$	<p>B1 M1 M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[8]</p>	<p>B1 for explicit mention of "independent". Note M1 mark required for f.t. to A marks below. Allow implicit $b = 0$. Note M1 mark required for f.t. to A marks below.</p> <p>Might be implicit in what follows.</p> <p>Beware printed answer.</p>

4769

Mark Scheme

June 2013

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	(ii)	Correct "spike" shape, or point shown. Location of spike or point at θ_0 correct and correctly labelled. Height of spike correct and correctly labelled as 1.	G1 G1 G1 [3]	
3	(iii)	<p>$X \sim N(\mu, 9)$. $n = 25$. $H_0: \mu = 0$. $H_1: \mu \neq 0$. Accept H_0 if $-1 < \bar{x} < 1$.</p> <p>$P(\text{Type I error}) = P\left(\bar{X} > 1 \mid \bar{X} \sim N\left(0, \frac{9}{25}\right)\right)$</p> <p>$= P\left(N(0, 1) > \frac{1-0}{3/5}\right) = 2 \times 0.0478 = 0.0956.$</p> <p>$P(\text{Type II error when } \mu = 0.5)$</p> <p>$= P\left(-1 < \bar{X} < 1 \mid \bar{X} \sim N\left(0.5, \frac{9}{25}\right)\right)$</p> <p>$= P\left(\frac{-1.5}{3/5} < N(0, 1) < \frac{0.5}{3/5}\right) = P(-2.5 < N(0, 1) < 0.8333)$</p> <p>$= 0.7976 - 0.0062 = 0.7914.$</p> <p>This is high. We are trying to detect only a small departure from H_0, the "error" (σ^2) being comparatively large.</p>	M1 M1 A1 M1 M1 M1 A1 E1 E1 [9]	M1 for use of $ \bar{X} > 1$, M1 for distribution of \bar{X} . Either or both marks might be implicit in what follows. Accept a.w.r.t. 0.096. As for the two M1 marks for the Type I error. Standardising with correct end-points.
3	(iv)	Correct shape – must be symmetrical, and reasonable approximation to Normal pdf. "Centre" at 0 and clearly labelled. Height at 0 distinctly less than 1 by about 10% (ft candidate's P(Type I error)). Some indication that height at 0.5 is about 0.8 (ft candidate's P(Type II error)).	G1 G1 G1 G1 [4]	

4769

Mark Scheme

June 2013

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	(i)	<p>Randomisation is mainly to guard against possible sources of bias, which may be due to subjective allocation of treatments to units or unsuspected.</p> <p>Replication enables an estimate of experimental error to be made.</p>	<p>B1 B1 B1</p> <p>B1 B1 B1</p> <p>[6]</p>	<p>Award up to B3 marks. These include B1 for idea of "sources of bias" and another B1 for either idea of " unsuspected" or "subjective".</p> <p>Award up to B3 marks. These include B1 for <i>some</i> concept of replication addressing experimental error and another B1 for the explicit idea that it enables this error to be estimated. The third B1 should be awarded for the general quality of the response, especially the lack of extraneous material in it</p> <p>Alternative suggestions offered by candidates may be rewarded <i>provided they are statistically sound</i>. Suggestions that are limited to particular designs (eg for the advantages of randomisation within a randomised blocks design) may be rewarded if statistically sound, but for at most 2 of the B3 marks in each set.</p>
4	(ii)	<p>μ is the population mean for the entire experiment.</p> <p>α_i is the population amount by which the mean for the ith treatment differs from μ.</p> <p>$e_{ij} \sim \text{ind N}(0, \sigma^2)$</p>	<p>B1 B1</p> <p>B1 B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>[7]</p>	<p>B1 for explicit mention of "population", B1 for idea of mean for whole experiment.</p> <p>B1 for explicit mention of "population", B1 for idea of difference of means.</p> <p>For "ind N"; allow "uncorrelated".</p> <p>For mean 0.</p> <p>For variance σ^2 [i.e. that the variance is constant].</p>

4769

Mark Scheme

June 2013

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance																		
4	(iii)	Null hypothesis: $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_k (= 0)$ Alternative hypothesis: not all α_i are equal Note that alternative hypothesis is NOT that all the α_i are different – B0 for alternative hypothesis if this is stated.	B1 B1	No need for definition of k as the number of treatments, and accept simply $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots$ with no explicit upper end to the sequence. Accept hypotheses stated verbally provided it is clear that <i>population</i> parameters (means) are being referred to.																		
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Source of variation</th> <th>Sum of squares</th> <th>d.f.</th> <th>Mean squares</th> <th>MS ratio</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Between fertilisers</td> <td>219.2</td> <td>4</td> <td>54.8</td> <td>2.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Residual</td> <td>304.5</td> <td>15</td> <td>20.3</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>523.7</td> <td>19</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Refer 2.7 to $F_{4,15}$.</p> <p>Upper 5% point is 3.06. Not significant. Seems mean effects of fertilisers are all the same.</p>	Source of variation	Sum of squares	d.f.	Mean squares	MS ratio	Between fertilisers	219.2	4	54.8	2.7	Residual	304.5	15	20.3		Total	523.7	19		
Source of variation	Sum of squares	d.f.	Mean squares	MS ratio																		
Between fertilisers	219.2	4	54.8	2.7																		
Residual	304.5	15	20.3																			
Total	523.7	19																				
			M1 A1 A1 E1 [11]	No f.t. if wrong but allow M1 for F with candidate's df if both positive and totalling 19. cao. No f.t. if wrong (or if not quoted). Verbal conclusion in context, and not "too assertive".																		