

Question 1

$f(x) = \frac{x e^{-x/\lambda}}{\lambda^2} \quad (x > 0)$	
<p>(i) $E(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\infty} x^2 e^{-x/\lambda} dx$</p> $= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left\{ \left[-\lambda x^2 e^{-x/\lambda} \right]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \lambda \cdot 2x e^{-x/\lambda} dx \right\}$ $= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \{ [0 - 0] \} + 2\lambda \cdot 1 = 2\lambda.$ <p>$E(\bar{X}) = E(X) \quad \therefore E(\hat{\lambda}_{[\frac{1}{2}\bar{X}]}) = \lambda \quad \therefore \hat{\lambda}$ is unbiased.</p>	<p>M1 for integral for E(X) M1 for attempt to integrate by parts</p> <p>For second term: M1 for use of integral of pdf or for integr'g by parts again A1</p> <p>M1 A1 E1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[7]</p>
<p>(ii) $\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{n}$</p> $E(X^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\infty} x^3 e^{-x/\lambda} dx$ $= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left\{ \left[-\lambda x^3 e^{-x/\lambda} \right]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} 3\lambda x^2 e^{-x/\lambda} dx \right\}$ $= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \{ [0 - 0] \} + 3\lambda E(X) = 6\lambda^2.$ <p>$\therefore \text{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - \{E(X)\}^2 = 6\lambda^2 - 4\lambda^2 = 2\lambda^2.$</p> <p>$\therefore \text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2n}.$</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>M1 for use of E(X²) By parts M1</p> <p>M1 for use of E(X) A1 for 6λ²</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[7]</p>
<p>(iii) Variance of $\hat{\lambda}$ becomes very small as n increases.</p> <p>It is unbiased and so becomes increasingly concentrated at the correct value λ.</p>	<p>E1</p> <p>E1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[2]</p>
<p>(iv) $E(\tilde{\lambda}) = \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\right) 2\lambda = \lambda. \quad \therefore \tilde{\lambda}$ is unbiased.</p> $\text{Var}(\tilde{\lambda}) = \left(\frac{1}{64} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{64}\right) 2\lambda^2 = \frac{3}{16} \lambda^2.$ <p>\therefore relative efficiency of $\tilde{\lambda}$ to $\hat{\lambda}$ is $\frac{\lambda^2/6}{3\lambda^2/16} = \frac{8}{9}.$</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Special case. If done as $\text{Var}(\tilde{\lambda}) / \text{Var}(\hat{\lambda})$, award 1 out of 2 for the second M1 and the A1 in the scheme.</p> <p>So $\hat{\lambda}$ is preferred.</p>	<p>$E(\tilde{\lambda})$: B1; "unbiased": E1</p> <p>M1 A1</p> <p>M1 any comparison of variances</p> <p>M1 correct comparison A1 for 8/9</p> <p>[Note. This M1M1A1 is allowable in full as FT if everything is plausible.]</p> <p>E1 (FT from above) [8]</p>

Question 2

<p>(i) $G(t) = E(t^X) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} (\lambda t)^x}{x!}$ [M1] $= e^{-\lambda} \left(1 + \lambda t + \frac{\lambda^2 t^2}{2!} + \dots \right)$ [A1]</p> <p>$= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda t} = e^{\lambda(t-1)}$ [A1] [Allow omission of previous A1 step and write-down of this for A2 provided opening M1 has been earned (NB answer is given)]</p>	[3]
<p>(ii) Mean = $G'(1)$ $G'(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda(t-1)}$ [M1] $G'(1) = \lambda$ [A1]</p> <p>Variance = $G''(1) + \text{mean} - \text{mean}^2$ $G''(t) = \lambda^2 e^{\lambda(t-1)}$ [M1] $G''(1) = \lambda^2$ [A1]</p> <p>\therefore variance = $\lambda^2 + \lambda - \lambda^2 = \lambda$ [A1]</p>	[5]
<p>(iii) $Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$: mean 0 [B1] variance 1 [B1]</p>	[2]
<p>(iv) Mgf of X is $M(\theta) = G(e^\theta) = e^{\lambda(e^\theta - 1)}$ [B1]</p> <p>Linear transformation result is $M_{aX+b}(\theta) = e^{b\theta} M_X(a\theta)$</p> <p>[B2 if fully correct, any equivalent form. Allow B1 if either factor correct.]</p> <p>Use with $a = \frac{1}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ and $b = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} = -\sqrt{\lambda}$ [M1]</p> <p>$M_Z(\theta) = e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}\theta} e^{\lambda(e^{\theta/\sqrt{\lambda}} - 1)}$ $= e^{\lambda(e^{\theta/\sqrt{\lambda}} - \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - 1)}$</p> <p>[A1] [A1] [A1] [NB answer is given]</p>	[7]
<p>(v) Consider $\lambda \left(e^{\theta/\sqrt{\lambda}} - \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - 1 \right) = \lambda \left(1 + \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{\theta^2}{2!\lambda} + \frac{\theta^3}{3!\lambda^{3/2}} + \dots - \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - 1 \right)$ [M1]</p> <p>$= \frac{\theta^2}{2} + \text{terms in } \lambda^{-1/2}, \lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-3/2}, \dots$ [A1] $\rightarrow \frac{\theta^2}{2}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ [M1]</p> <p>[some explanation required]</p> <p>$\therefore M_Z(\theta) \rightarrow e^{\theta^2/2}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ [A1] [answer given]</p>	[4]
<p>(vi) $e^{\theta^2/2}$ is the mgf of $N(0, 1)$ [E1],</p> <p>and the relationship between distributions and their mgfs is unique [E1].</p> <p>"Unstandardising", X tends to $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ i.e. $N(\lambda, \lambda)$ [B1, parameters must be given].</p>	[3]

Question 3

<p>(i) H_0 is accepted if $-1.96 < \text{value of test statistic} < 1.96$</p> <p>i.e. if $-1.96 < \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{1.2^2}{8} + \frac{1.4^2}{10}}} < 1.96$</p> <p>i.e. if $-1.96 \times 0.6132 < \bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 < 1.96 \times 0.6132$</p> <p>i.e. if $-1.20(18) < \bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 < 1.20(18)$</p> <p>Note. Use of $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ instead of $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2$ can score M1 B1 M0 M1 A0 A0.</p>	<p>M1 double inequality B1 1.96</p> <p>M1 num^r of test statistic</p> <p>M1 den^r of test statistic</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>Special case. Allow 1 out of 2 of the A1 marks if 1.645 used provided all 3 M marks have been earned.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[6]</p>
<p>(ii) $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 = 1.4$</p> <p>which is outside the acceptance region</p> <p>so H_0 is rejected.</p> <p>CI for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$: $1.4 \pm (2.576 \times 0.6132)$,</p> <p>i.e. 1.4 ± 1.5796, i.e. $(-0.18 [-0.1796], 2.97[96])$</p>	<p>B1 FT if wrong</p> <p>M1 [FT can's acceptance region if reasonable]</p> <p>E1</p> <p>M1 for 1.4 B1 for 2.576 M1 for 0.6132 A1 cao for interval</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[7]</p>
<p>(iii) Wilcoxon rank sum test (or Mann-Whitney form of test)</p> <p>Ranks are: First 14 13 10 8 6 11 Second 2 12 3 1 4 7 5 9</p> <p>$W = 14 + 13 + 10 + 8 + 6 + 11 = 62$ [or $8 + 8 + 7 + 7 + 6 + 5 = 41$ if M-W used]</p> <p>Refer to $W_{6,8}$ [or $MW_{6,8}$] tables.</p> <p>Lower 2½% critical point is 29 [or 8 if M-W used].</p> <p>Consideration of upper 2½% point is also needed.</p> <p>Eg: by using symmetry about mean of $(\frac{1}{2} \times 6 \times 8) + (\frac{1}{2} \times 6 \times 7)$ = 45, critical point is 61. [For M-W: mean is $\frac{1}{2} \times 6 \times 8 = 24$, hence critical point is 40.]</p> <p>Result is significant. Seems (population) medians may not be assumed equal.</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>M1 Combined ranking A1 Correct [allow up to 2 errors; FT provided M1 earned]</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1 No FT if wrong</p> <p>A1</p> <p>Special case 1. If M1 for $W_{6,8}$ has not been awarded (likely to be because rank sum 43 has been used, which should be referred to $W_{8,6}$), the next two M1 marks can be earned but <i>nothing beyond them</i>.</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1 for any correct method A1 if 61 correct</p> <p>E1, E1</p> <p>Special case 2 (does not apply if Special Case 1 has been invoked). These 2 marks may be earned even if only 1 or 2 of the preceding 3 have been earned.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[11]</p>

Question 4

<p>(i) Randomised blocks</p> <p>Eg:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="370 353 850 459"> <tr> <td>WEST</td> <td>D</td> <td>C</td> <td>D</td> <td>EAST</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>A</td> <td>B</td> <td>C</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>C</td> <td>A</td> <td>A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>B</td> <td>D</td> <td>B</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Plots in strips (blocks) correctly aligned w.r.t. fertility trend. Each letter occurs at least once in each block in a random arrangement.</p>	WEST	D	C	D	EAST		A	B	C			C	A	A			B	D	B		<p>B1</p> <p>M1 E1 M1 E1</p> <p>[5]</p>
WEST	D	C	D	EAST																	
	A	B	C																		
	C	A	A																		
	B	D	B																		
<p>(ii) μ = population [B1] grand mean for whole experiment [B1] α_i = population [B1] mean amount by which the ith treatment differs from μ [B1]</p> <p>$e_{ij} \sim \text{ind } N$ [B1, accept "uncorrelated"] (0 [B1], σ^2 [B1])</p>	<p>4 marks, as shown</p> <p>3 marks, as shown</p> <p>[7]</p>																				
<p>(ii) Totals are 62.7 65.6 69.0 67.8 all from samples of size 5</p> <p>Grand total 265.1 "Correction factor" $CF = 265.1^2/20 = 3513.9005$</p> <p>Total SS = 3524.31 – CF = 10.4095</p> <p>Between varieties $SS = \frac{62.7^2}{5} + \frac{65.6^2}{5} + \frac{69.0^2}{5} + \frac{67.8^2}{5} - CF$</p> <p style="text-align: center;">= 3518.498 – CF = 4.5975</p> <p>Residual SS (by subtraction) = 10.4095 – 4.5975 = 5.8120</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="164 1377 1129 1500"> <thead> <tr> <th>Source of variation</th> <th>SS</th> <th>df</th> <th>MS [M1]</th> <th>MS ratio [M1]</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Between varieties</td> <td>4.5975</td> <td>3 [B1]</td> <td>1.5325</td> <td>4.22 [A1 cao]</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Residual</td> <td>5.8120</td> <td>16 [B1]</td> <td>0.36325</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>10.4095</td> <td>19</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Refer MS ratio to $F_{3,16}$.</p> <p>Upper 5% point is 3.24. Significant. Seems the mean yields of the varieties are not all the same.</p>	Source of variation	SS	df	MS [M1]	MS ratio [M1]	Between varieties	4.5975	3 [B1]	1.5325	4.22 [A1 cao]	Residual	5.8120	16 [B1]	0.36325		Total	10.4095	19			<p>M1 for attempt to form three sums of squares. M1 for correct method for any two. A1 if each calculated SS is correct.</p> <p>5 marks within the table, as shown</p> <p>M1 No FT if wrong</p> <p>A1 No FT if wrong E1 E1</p> <p>[12]</p>
Source of variation	SS	df	MS [M1]	MS ratio [M1]																	
Between varieties	4.5975	3 [B1]	1.5325	4.22 [A1 cao]																	
Residual	5.8120	16 [B1]	0.36325																		
Total	10.4095	19																			