

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
1. (a)	$b = 2.75, a = \frac{1}{2.91} = 0.344$ 2.75, reciprocal, 0.344	B1, M1, A1  <b>(3 marks)</b>
2.	$d: 5, 13, -8, 2, -3, 4, 11, -1$ at least 2 correct $(\Sigma d = 23, \Sigma d^2 = 409) \bar{d} = 2.875, sd = 6.9987 (\approx 7.00)$ $H_0: \mu_d = 0, H_1: \mu_d > 0$ both $t = \frac{2.875\sqrt{8}}{6.9987} = 1.1618\dots (\approx 1.16)$ formula and substitution, 1.16 Critical value $t_7(10\%) = 1.415$ (1 tail) Not significant. Insufficient evidence to support the chemist's claim.	M1 A1, A1 B1 M1, A1 B1 A1 ft <b>(8 marks)</b>
3. (a)	$E(A_1) = E(X_1) E(X_2) = \mu^2$ $A_2 = \bar{X}^2, \bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \therefore E(\bar{X}^2) = E(A_2) = \mu^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$	B1  M1, M1, A1 (4)
(b)	$A_1$ is unbiased, bias for $A_2$ is $\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$	B1, B1 (2)
(c)	Used $A_1$ since it is unbiased	B1 (1)
(d)	$E(\bar{X}^2) = \mu^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2};$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, E(\bar{X}^2) \rightarrow \mu^2$	M1
	$\text{Var}(\bar{X}^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n^2} + \frac{4\sigma^2\mu^2}{n};$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \text{Var}(\bar{X}^2) \rightarrow 0$	M1
	$\bar{X}^2$ is a consistent estimator of $\mu^2$	A1 (3)
		<b>(10 marks)</b>

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
<p>4. (a)</p> <p>(b)</p>	<p><math>H_0: \mu = 150.9</math> [accept <math>\geq 150.9</math>], <math>H_1: \mu &lt; 150.9</math></p> <p><math>s^2 = \frac{1}{29} \left( 646904.1 - \frac{(4400.7)^2}{30} \right) = \frac{1365.727}{29} = 47.1</math></p> <p>test statistic <math>t = \frac{30}{s/\sqrt{30}} = -3.36</math></p> <p>critical value <math>t_{29}(5\%) = (-)1.669</math></p> <p>significant, evidence to confirm doctor's statement</p> <p><math>H_0: \sigma^2 = 36</math>, <math>H_1: \sigma^2 \neq 36</math></p> <p>both</p> <p>test statistic <math>\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1365.727}{36} = 37.9</math></p> <p>critical values <math>\chi_{29}^2(5\%)</math> upper tail = 45.722  <math>\chi_{29}^2(5\%)</math> lower tail = 16.047 not significant</p> <p>Insufficient evidence that variance of the heights of female Indians is different from that of females in the UK</p>	<p>both B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1 A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1 ft (6)</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1, A1</p> <p>B1, B1</p> <p>A1 ft (6)</p> <p><b>(12 marks)</b></p>
<p>5. (a)</p> <p>(b)</p>	<p><math>H_0: \sigma_G^2 = \sigma_B^2</math>, <math>H_1: \sigma_G^2 \neq \sigma_B^2</math>,</p> <p><math>s_B^2 = \frac{1}{6}(56130 - 7 \times 88.9^2) = \frac{807.53}{6} = 134.6</math></p> <p><math>s_G^2 = \frac{1}{7}(55746 - 8 \times 83.1^2) = \frac{501.12}{7} = 71.58</math></p> <p><math>\frac{s_B^2}{s_G^2} = 1.880\dots</math></p> <p>critical value <math>F_{6,7} = 3.87</math></p> <p>not significant, variances are the same</p> <p><math>H_0: \mu_B = \mu_G</math>, <math>H_1: \mu_B &gt; \mu_G</math></p> <p>pooled estimate of variance <math>s^2 = \frac{6 \times 134.6 + 7 \times 71.58}{13} = 100.6653\dots</math></p> <p>test statistic <math>t = \frac{88.9 - 83.1}{s\sqrt{\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8}}}</math></p> <p>critical value <math>t_{13}(5\%) = 1.771</math></p> <p>Insufficient evidence to support parent's claim</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1 A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1 ft (7)</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1 A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1 ft (6)</p> <p><b>(13 marks)</b></p>

ft = follow through mark

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
6.	(a) 95% confidence interval for $\mu$ is	2.064 B1
	$1.68 \pm t_{24}(2.5\%) \sqrt{\frac{1.79}{25}} = 1.68 \pm 2.064 \sqrt{\frac{1.79}{25}} = (1.13, 2.23)$	M1 A1 A1 (4)
	(b) 95% confidence interval for $\sigma^2$ is	B1, M1, B1
	$12.401, < \frac{24 \times 1.79}{\sigma^2} <, 39.364$ $\sigma^2 > 1.09, \sigma^2 > 3.46$	A1, A1 (5)
(c)	Require $P(X > 2.5) = P\left(Z > \frac{2.5 - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$ to be as small as possible OR	
	$\frac{25 - \mu}{\sigma}$ to be as large as possible; both imply lowest $\sigma$ and $\mu$ .	M1 M1
	$\frac{25 - 1.13}{\sqrt{1.09}} = 1.31$	M1
	$P(Z > 1.31) = 1 - 0.9049 = 0.0951$	A1 (4)
		<b>(13 marks)</b>
7.	(a) $X$ is the number of defectives, $X \sim B(5, p)$	
	size = $P(\text{reject } H_0 \mid p = 0.1) = P(X > 2 \mid p = 0.1)$	M1
	$= 1 - 0.9914 = 0.0086$	A1 (2)
	(b) $r = P(X > 2 \mid p = 0.2), 1 - 0.9421, = 0.0579$	M1, M1, A1 (3)
	(c) $Y$ is the number of defectives, $Y \sim B(10, p)$	
	$P(\text{Type I error}) = P(Y > 4 \mid p = 0.1) = 1 - 0.9984 = 0.0016$	M1 A1 (2)
	(d) $s = P(Y > 4 \mid p = 0.4) = 1 - 0.6331 = 0.3669$	B1 (1)
	(e) Graph	G4 (4)
	(f) (i) Intersection 0.32 – 0.33	B1
	(ii) $p > 0.32$ ; Assistant's test is more powerful (sensible comment)	B1 (2)
(g) Consider costs – smaller sample so test is cheaper	B1	
More powerful for $p < 0.32$ and $p > 0.32$ is unlikely	B1 (2)	
		<b>(16 marks)</b>