

Q1	$f(t) = kt^3(2-t) \quad 0 < t \leq 2$			
(i)	$\int_0^2 kt^3(2-t)dt = 1$ $\therefore \left[ k \left( \frac{2t^4}{4} - \frac{t^5}{5} \right) \right]_0^2 = 1$ $\therefore k \left( 8 - \frac{32}{5} \right) - 0 = 1$ $\therefore k \times \frac{8}{5} = 1 \quad \therefore k = \frac{5}{8}$	M1	Integral of $f(t)$ , including limits (possibly implied later), equated to 1.	
		E1	Convincingly shown. Beware printed answer.	2
(ii)	$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{5}{8}(6t^2 - 4t^3) = 0$ $\therefore 6t^2 - 4t^3 = 0$ $\therefore 2t^2(3 - 2t) = 0$ $\therefore t = (0 \text{ or } ) \frac{3}{2}$	M1	Differentiate and set equal to zero.	
		A1	c.a.o.	2
(iii)	$E(T) = \int_0^{\frac{2}{8}} \frac{5}{8} t^4 (2-t) dt$ $= \left[ \frac{5}{8} \left( \frac{2t^5}{5} - \frac{t^6}{6} \right) \right]_0^{\frac{2}{8}} = \frac{5}{8} \times \left( \frac{64}{5} - \frac{64}{6} \right) = \frac{4}{3}$ $E(T^2) = \int_0^{\frac{2}{8}} \frac{5}{8} t^5 (2-t) dt$ $= \left[ \frac{5}{8} \left( \frac{2t^6}{6} - \frac{t^7}{7} \right) \right]_0^{\frac{2}{8}} = \frac{5}{8} \times \left( \frac{128}{6} - \frac{128}{7} \right) = \frac{40}{21}$ $\text{Var}(T) = \frac{40}{21} - \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^2 = \frac{120 - 112}{63} = \frac{8}{63}$	M1	Integral for $E(T)$ including limits (which may appear later).	
		A1		
		M1	Integral for $E(T^2)$ including limits (which may appear later).	
		M1		
		A1	Convincingly shown. Beware printed answer.	5
(iv)	$\bar{T} \sim N\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{8}{63n}\right)$	B1	Normal distribution.	
		B1	Mean. ft c's $E(T)$ .	
		B1	Correct variance.	3

4768

Mark Scheme

June 2007

(v)	$n = 100, \quad \bar{t} = \frac{145 \cdot 2}{100} = 1 \cdot 452,$ $s_{n-1}^2 = \frac{223 \cdot 41 - 100 \times 1 \cdot 452^2}{99} = 0 \cdot 12707$ <p>CI is given by <math>1 \cdot 452 \pm</math></p> $1 \cdot 96 \times \frac{0 \cdot 3565}{\sqrt{100}}$ $= 1 \cdot 452 \pm 0 \cdot 0698 = (1 \cdot 382, 1 \cdot 522)$ <p>Since <math>E(T)</math> (<math>= 4/3</math>) lies outside this interval it seems the model may not be appropriate.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>Both mean and variance. Accept sd = 0.3565</p> <p>ft c's <math>\bar{t} \pm</math> .</p> <p>ft c's <math>s_{n-1}</math>.</p> <p>c.a.o. Must be expressed as an interval.</p>	<p>6</p>
				18

Q2	$Ca \sim N(60.2, 5.2^2)$ $Co \sim N(33.9, 6.3^2)$ $L \sim N(52.4, 4.9^2)$		When a candidate's answers suggest that (s)he appears to have neglected to use the difference columns of the Normal distribution tables, penalise the first occurrence only.	
(i)	$P(Co < 40) = P\left(Z < \frac{40 - 33.9}{6.3} = 0.9683\right)$ $= 0.8336$	M1 A1 A1	For standardising. Award once, here or elsewhere. c.a.o.	3
(ii)	Want $P(L > Ca)$ i.e. $P(L - Ca > 0)$  $L - Ca \sim N(52.4 - 60.2 = -7.8,$ $4.9^2 + 5.2^2 = 51.05)$  $P(\text{this} > 0) = P\left(Z > \frac{0 - (-7.8)}{\sqrt{51.05}} = 1.0917\right)$ $= 1 - 0.8625 = 0.1375$	M1 B1 B1  A1	Allow $Ca - L$ provided subsequent work is consistent. Mean. Variance. Accept $sd = \sqrt{51.05} = 7.1449\dots$  c.a.o.	4
(iii)	Want $P(Ca_1 + Ca_2 + Ca_3 + Ca_4 > 225)$ $Ca_1 + \dots \sim N(60.2 + 60.2 + 60.2 + 60.2 = 240.8,$ $5.2^2 + 5.2^2 + 5.2^2 + 5.2^2 = 108.16)$  $P(\text{this} > 225) = P\left(Z > \frac{225 - 240.8}{\sqrt{108.16}} = -1.519\right)$ $= 0.9356$  Must assume that the weeks are independent of each other.	M1 B1 B1  A1  B1	Mean. Variance. Accept $sd = \sqrt{108.16} = 10.4$ .  c.a.o.	5
(iv)	$R \sim N(0.05 \times 60.2 + 0.1 \times 33.9 + 0.2 \times 52.4 = 16.88,$ $0.05^2 \times 5.2^2 + 0.1^2 \times 6.3^2 + 0.2^2 \times 4.9^2 = 1.4249)$  $P(R > 20) = P\left(Z > \frac{20 - 16.88}{\sqrt{1.4249}} = 2.613\right)$ $= 1 - 0.9955 = 0.0045$	M1 A1 M1 M1 A1  A1	Mean.  For $0.05^2$ etc. For $\times 5.2^2$ etc. Accept $sd = \sqrt{1.4249} = 1.1937$ .  c.a.o.	6
				18



4768

Mark Scheme

June 2007

(b)	<p>For “days lost after”  <math>\bar{x}=4.6182, s_{n1} = 1.4851</math> (<math>s_{n1}^2 = 2.2056</math>)</p> <p>CI is given by <math>4.6182 \pm</math>  <math>2.228</math>  <math>\times \frac{1.4851}{\sqrt{11}}</math>  <math>= 4.6182 \pm 0.9976 = (3.620(6), 5.615(8))</math></p> <p>Assume Normality of population of “days lost after”.</p> <p>Since 3.5 lies outside the interval it seems that the target has not been achieved.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>Do not allow <math>s_n = 1.4160</math> (<math>s_n^2 = 2.0051</math>).</p> <p>ft c's <math>\bar{x} \pm</math>.</p> <p>ft c's <math>s_{n1}</math>.</p> <p>c.a.o. Must be expressed as an interval.  ZERO if not same distribution as test. Same wrong distribution scores maximum M1B0M1A0.  Recovery to <math>t_{10}</math> is OK.</p>	<p>7</p>
				18

Q4																																					
(i)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Obs</td> <td>21</td> <td>24</td> <td>12</td> <td>15</td> <td>13</td> <td>9</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exp</td> <td>26.53</td> <td>17.22</td> <td>20.25</td> <td>11.00</td> <td>10.94</td> <td>8.74</td> <td>5.32</td> </tr> </table> <p> <math>\therefore X^2 = \frac{(21 - 26.53)^2}{26.53} + \text{etc}</math>  <math>= 1.1527 + 2.6695 + 3.3611 + 1.4545 + 0.3879</math>  <math>+ 0.0077 + 0.0869</math>  <math>= 9.1203</math> </p> <p> d.o.f. = 7 - 1 = 6  Refer to <math>\chi^2_6</math>.  Upper 5% point is 12.59  9.1203 &lt; 12.59 <math>\therefore</math> Result is not significant.  Evidence suggests the model fits the data at the 5% level. </p>	Obs	21	24	12	15	13	9	6	Exp	26.53	17.22	20.25	11.00	10.94	8.74	5.32	M1 A1 M1 A1 A1 M1 A1 E1 E1	Probabilities $\times$ 100. All Expected frequencies correct.  At least 4 values correct.  No ft from here if wrong. No ft from here if wrong. ft only c's test statistic. ft only c's test statistic.	9																	
Obs	21	24	12	15	13	9	6																														
Exp	26.53	17.22	20.25	11.00	10.94	8.74	5.32																														
(ii)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Data</th> <th>Diff = data - 124</th> <th>Rank of  diff </th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>239</td><td>115</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>77</td><td>-47</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>179</td><td>55</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>221</td><td>97</td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>100</td><td>-24</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>312</td><td>188</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>52</td><td>-72</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>129</td><td>5</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>236</td><td>112</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>42</td><td>-82</td><td>6</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p> <math>W_- = 3 + 2 + 5 + 6 = 16</math> </p> <p> Refer to Wilcoxon single sample (/paired) tables for <math>n = 10</math>.  Lower two-tail 10% point is ...  ... 10.  <math>16 &gt; 10 \therefore</math> Result is not significant.  Seems there is no evidence against the median length being 124. </p>	Data	Diff = data - 124	Rank of  diff	239	115	9	77	-47	3	179	55	4	221	97	7	100	-24	2	312	188	10	52	-72	5	129	5	1	236	112	8	42	-82	6	M1 M1 A1  B1 M1 M1A1 E1 E1	For differences. For ranks of  difference . All correct. ft from here if ranks wrong.  Or $W_+ = 9 + 4 + 7 + 10 + 1 + 8 = 39$  No ft from here if wrong. Or, if 39 used, upper point is 45. No ft from here if wrong. Or $39 < 45$ . ft only c's test statistic. ft only c's test statistic.	9
Data	Diff = data - 124	Rank of  diff																																			
239	115	9																																			
77	-47	3																																			
179	55	4																																			
221	97	7																																			
100	-24	2																																			
312	188	10																																			
52	-72	5																																			
129	5	1																																			
236	112	8																																			
42	-82	6																																			
								18																													