

Mark Scheme (Results) Summer 2009

GCE

GCE Mathematics (6691/01)

June 2009
6691 Statistics S3
Mark Scheme

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q1	<p>(a) Randomly select a number between 00 and 499 (001 and 500) select every 500th person</p> <p>(bi) <u>Quota</u> Advantage: <u>Representative</u> sample can be achieved (with small sample size) <u>Cheap</u> (costs kept to a minimum) not “quick“ Administration relatively <u>easy</u> Disadvantage Not possible to estimate sampling errors (due to lack of randomness) Not a random process Judgment of interviewer can affect choice of sample – <u>bias</u> Non-response not recorded Difficulties of defining controls e.g. social class</p> <p>(bii) <u>Systematic</u> Advantage: <u>Simple</u> or <u>easy</u> to use not “quick” or “cheap” or “efficient” It is suitable for large <u>samples</u> (not populations) Disadvantage Only random if the ordered list is (truly) random Requires a list of the population <u>or</u> must assign a number to each member of the pop.</p>	<p>B1 B1 (2)</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>(2)</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1 (2)</p> <p>[6]</p>
(a)	<p>1st B1 for idea of using random numbers to select the first from 1 - 500 (o.e.) 2nd B1 for selecting every 500th (name on the list)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">If they are clearly trying to carry out <u>stratified</u> sample then score B0B0</p>	
(b)	Score B1 for any one line	
(i)	<p>1st B1 for Quota advantage 2nd B1 for Quota disadvantage</p>	
(ii)	<p>3rd B1 for Systematic Advantage 4th B1 for Systematic Disadvantage</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q2 (a)	Limits are $20.1 \pm 1.96 \times 0.5$ <u>(19.1, 21.1)</u>	M1 B1 A1cso (3)
(b)	98 % confidence limits are $20.1 \pm 2.3263 \times \frac{0.5}{\sqrt{10}}$ <u>(19.7, 20.5)</u>	M1 B1 A1A1 (4)
(c)	The growers claim is not correct Since 19.5 does not lie in the interval (19.7, 20.5)	B1 dB1 (2) [9]
(a)	M1 for $20.1 \pm z \times 0.5$. Need 20.1 and 0.5 in correct places with no $\sqrt{10}$ B1 for $z = 1.96$ (or better) A1 for awrt 19.1 <u>and</u> awrt 21.1 but must have scored both M1 and B1 [Correct answer only scores 3/3]	
(b)	M1 for $20.1 \pm z \times \frac{0.5}{\sqrt{10}}$, need to see 20.1, 0.5 and $\sqrt{10}$ in correct places B1 for $z = 2.3263$ (or better) 1 st A1 for awrt 19.7 2 nd A1 for awrt 20.5 [Correct answer only scores M1B0A1A1]	
(c)	1 st B1 for rejection of the claim. Accept “unlikely” or “not correct” 2 nd dB1 Dependent on scoring 1 st B1 in this part for rejecting grower’s claim for an argument that supports this. Allow comment on <u>their</u> 98% CI from (b)	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q4	$X \sim N(55, 3^2) \text{ therefore } \bar{X} \sim N\left(55, \frac{9}{8}\right)$ $P(\bar{X} > 57) = P\left(Z > \frac{57 - 55}{\sqrt{\frac{9}{8}}}\right) = P(Z > 1.8856\dots)$ $= 1 - 0.9706$ $= 0.0294$ <p style="text-align: right;"><u>0.0294~0.0297</u></p>	B1 B1 M1 M1 A1 [5]
ALT	<p>1st B1 for $\bar{X} \sim$ normal and $\mu = 55$, may be implied but must be \bar{X}</p> <p>2nd B1 for $\text{Var}(\bar{X})$ or st. dev of \bar{X} e.g. $\bar{X} \sim N(55, \frac{9}{8})$ or $\bar{X} \sim N\left(55, \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{8}}\right)^2\right)$ for B1B1</p> <p>Condone use of X if they clearly mean \bar{X} so $X \sim N(55, \frac{9}{8})$ is OK for B1B1</p> <p>1st M1 for an attempt to standardize with 57 and mean of 55 and their st. dev. $\neq 3$</p> <p>2nd M1 for 1 - tables value. Must be trying to find a probability < 0.5</p> <p>A1 for answers in the range 0.0294~0.0297</p> $\sum_{i=1}^8 X_i \sim N(8 \times 55, 8 \times 3^2)$ <p>1st B1 for $\sum X \sim$ normal and mean = 8×55</p> <p>2nd B1 for variance = 8×3^2</p> <p>1st M1 for attempt to standardise with 57×8, mean of 55×8 and their st dev $\neq 3$</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks																							
Q5 (a)	$\lambda = \frac{0 \times 40 + 1 \times 33 + 2 \times 14 + 3 \times 8 + 4 \times 5}{100} = 1.05$	M1 A1 (2)																							
(b)	Using Expected frequency = $100 \times P(X = x) = 100 \times \frac{e^{-1.05} 1.05^x}{x!}$ gives $r = 36.743$ awrt 36.743 or 36.744 $s = 19.290$ 19.29 or awrt 19.290	M1 A1 A1 (3)																							
(c)	H_0 : Poisson distribution is a suitable model H_1 : Poisson distribution is not a suitable model <table border="1" data-bbox="296 667 1246 1010"> <thead> <tr> <th>Number of goals</th> <th>Frequency</th> <th>Expected frequency</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>40</td> <td>34.994</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>33</td> <td>36.743</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>14</td> <td>19.290</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>8</td> <td>6.752</td> <td rowspan="2">8.972443</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥ 4</td> <td>5</td> <td>2.221</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> $\nu = 4 - 1 - 1 = 2$ CR : $\chi^2_2(0.05) > 5.991$ $\sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E} = \frac{(40 - 34.9937)^2}{34.9937} + \dots + \frac{(13 - 8.972443)^2}{8.972443}$ $= 4.356. \quad (\text{ans in range } 4.2 - 4.4)$ [= 0.7161... + 0.3813... + 1.4508... + 1.80789...] Not in critical region Number of goals scored can follow a Poisson distribution / managers claim is justified	Number of goals	Frequency	Expected frequency		0	40	34.994		1	33	36.743		2	14	19.290		3	8	6.752	8.972443	≥ 4	5	2.221	B1 B1 M1 A1 A1 ft (7) [12]
Number of goals	Frequency	Expected frequency																							
0	40	34.994																							
1	33	36.743																							
2	14	19.290																							
3	8	6.752	8.972443																						
≥ 4	5	2.221																							
(a)	M1 for an attempt to find the mean- at least 2 terms on numerator seen Correct answer only will score both marks																								
(b)	M1 for use of correct formula (ft their mean). 1 st A1 for r , 2 nd A1 for s (19.29 OK)																								
(c)	1 st B1 Must have both hypotheses and mention Poisson at least once inclusion of their value for mean in hypotheses is B0 but condone in conclusion 1 st M1 for an attempt to pool ≥ 4 2 nd B1ft for $n - 1 - 1 = 2$ i.e realising that they must subtract 2 from their n 3 rd B1 for 5.991 only 2 nd M1 for an attempt at the test statistic, at least 2 correct expressions/values (to 3sf) 1 st A1 for answers in the range 4.2~4.4 2 nd A1 for correct comment in context based on their test statistic and their cv that mentions goals or manager. Dependent on 2 nd M1 Condone mention of Po(1.05) in conclusion Score A0 for inconsistencies e.g. “significant” followed by “manager’s claim is justified”																								

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q6 (a)	<p>$\mu_U \sim$ mean length of upper shore limpets, $\mu_L \sim$ mean length of lower shore limpets</p> <p>$H_0 : \mu_u = \mu_L$</p> <p>$H_1 : \mu_u < \mu_L$</p> <p style="text-align: right;">both</p> $\text{s.e.} = \sqrt{\frac{0.42^2}{120} + \frac{0.67^2}{150}}$ $= 0.0668$ $z = \frac{5.05 - 4.97}{0.0668} = (\pm)1.1975$ <p style="text-align: right;">awrt \pm 1.20</p> <p>Critical region is $z \geq 1.6449$, or probability = awrt (0.115 or 0.116) $z = \pm 1.6449$</p> <p>(1.1975 < 1.6449) therefore not in critical region / accept H_0/not significant (or $P(Z \geq 1.1975) = 0.1151$, $0.1151 > 0.05$ or z not in critical region)</p> <p>There is no evidence that the limpets on the upper shore are shorter than the limpets on the lower shore.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>dM1 A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>(8)</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>(2)</p> <p>[10]</p>
(a)	<p>1st B1 If μ_1, μ_2 used then it must be clear which refers to upper shore. Accept sensible choice of letters such as u and l.</p> <p>1st M1 Condone minor slips e.g. $\frac{0.67^2}{120}$ or $\frac{0.67}{150} + \frac{0.42^2}{120}$ etc i.e. swapped n or one sd and one variance but M0 for $\sqrt{\frac{0.67}{150} + \frac{0.42}{120}}$</p> <p>1st A1 can be scored for a fully correct expression. May be implied by awrt 1.20</p> <p>2nd dM1 is dependent upon the 1st M1 but can ft their se value if this mark is scored.</p> <p>2nd A1 for awrt (+) 1.20</p> <p>3rd M1 for a correct statement based on their z value and their cv. No cv is M0A0 If using probability they must compare their p (<0.5) with 0.05 (o.e) so can allow $0.884 < 0.95$ to score this 3rd M1 mark. May be implied by their contextual statement and M1A0 is possible.</p>	
(b)	<p>3rd A1 for a correct comment to accept null hypothesis that mentions <u>length of limpets</u> on the two <u>shores</u>.</p> <p>1st B1 for one correct statement. Accept "samples are independent"</p> <p>2nd B1 for both statements</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q7 (a)	<p>Estimate of Mean = $\frac{600.9}{5} = 120.18$</p> <p>Estimate of Variance = $\frac{1}{4} \left\{ 72216.31 - \frac{600.9^2}{5} \right\}$ or $\frac{0.148}{4} = 0.037$</p>	M1A1 M1 A1ft A1 (5)
(b)	<p>$P(-0.05 < \mu - \hat{\mu} < 0.05) = 0.90$ or $P(-0.05 < \bar{X} - \mu < 0.05) = 0.90$ [\leq is OK]</p> $\frac{0.05}{\frac{0.2}{\sqrt{n}}} = 1.6449$ $n = \frac{1.6449^2 \times 0.2^2}{0.05^2}$ $n = 43.29\dots$ $n = 44$	B1 M1 A1 dM1 A1 A1 (6) [11]
(a)	<p>1st M1 for an attempt at $\sum x$ (accept 600 to 1sf)</p> <p>1st A1 for $\frac{600.9}{5} = \text{awrt } 120$ or awrt 120.2. No working give M1A1 for awrt 120.2</p> <p>2nd M1 for the use of a correct formula including a reasonable attempt at $\sum x^2$ (Accept 70 000 to 1sf) or $\sum (x - \bar{x})^2 = 0.15$ (to 2 dp)</p> <p>2nd A1ft for a correct expression with correct $\sum x^2$ but can fit their <u>mean</u> (for expression - no need to check values if it is incorrect)</p> <p>3rd A1 for 0.037 Correct answer with no working scores 3/3 for variance</p>	
(b)	<p>B1 for a correct probability statement <u>or</u> “width of 90% CI = $0.05 \times 2 = 0.1$”</p> <p>1st M1 for $\frac{0.05}{\frac{0.2}{\sqrt{n}}} = z$ value <u>or</u> $2 \times \frac{0.2}{\sqrt{n}} \times z = 0.1$</p> <p>Condone 0.5 instead of 0.05 <u>or</u> missing 2 <u>or</u> 0.05 for 0.1 for M1</p> <p>1st A1 for a correct equation including 1.6449</p> <p>2nd dM1 Dependent upon 1st M1 for rearranging to get $n = \dots$ Must see “squaring”</p> <p>2nd A1 for $n = \text{awrt } 43.3$</p> <p>3rd A1 for rounding up to get $n = 44$</p> <p>Using e.g. 1.645 instead of 1.6449 can score all the marks except the 1st A1</p>	1 st B1 may be implied by 1 st A1 scored or correct equation.

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q8 (a)	$E(4X - 3Y) = 4E(X) - 3E(Y)$ $= 4 \times 30 - 3 \times 20$ $= 60$	M1 A1 (2)
(b)	$\text{Var}(4X - 3Y) = 16 \text{Var}(X) + 9 \text{Var}(Y)$ $= 16 \times 9 + 9 \times 4$ $= 180$	16 or 9; adding M1; M1 A1 (3)
(c)	$E(B) = 80$ $\text{Var}(B) = 16$ $E(B - A) = 20$ $\text{Var}(B - A) = 196$ $P(B - A > 0) = P\left(Z > \frac{-20}{\sqrt{196}}\right) = [P(Z > -1.428\dots)]$ $= 0.923 \dots$	$E(B) - E(A)$ ft on 180 and 16 B1 B1 M1 A1ft stand. using their mean and var dM1 awrt 0.923 - 0.924 A1 (6) [11]
(a)	M1 for correct use of $E(aX + bY)$ formula	
(b)	1 st M1 for $16\text{Var}(X)$ or $9\text{Var}(Y)$ 2 nd M1 for <u>adding</u> variances Key points are the 16, 9 and +. Allow slip e.g using $\text{Var}(X)=4$ etc to score Ms	
(c)	1 st M1 for attempting $B - A$ and $E(B - A)$ or $A - B$ and $E(A - B)$ This mark may be implied by an attempt at a correct probability e.g. $P\left(Z > \frac{0 - (80 - 60)}{\sqrt{180 + 16}}\right)$. To be implied we must see the "0" 1 st A1ft for $\text{Var}(B - A)$ can ft their $\text{Var}(A) = 180$ and their $\text{Var}(B) = 16$ 2 nd dM1 Dependent upon the 1 st M1 in part (c). for attempting a correct probability i.e. $P(B - A > 0)$ or $P(A - B < 0)$ and standardising with their mean and variance. They must standardise properly with the 0 to score this mark 2 nd A1 for awrt 0.923 ~ 0.924	