

S3 June 2007 (MA)

(Q1a)	Display	P	Q	R_p	R_Q	d	d^2
	A	25	20	2	2	0	0
	B	19	9	6	8	2	4
	C	21	21	4	1	3	9
	D	23	13	3	6	3	9
	E	28	17	1	3	2	4
	F	17	14	7	5	2	4
	G	16	11	8	7	1	1
	H	20	15	5	4	1	1
							<u>32</u>

highest = 1, lowest = 8

(you can reverse the order, the method will still be valid)

$$\sum d^2 = 32$$

$$\therefore r_s = 1 - \frac{6(32)}{8(63)} = \boxed{0.619}$$

b) $H_0: \rho = 0$ critical value: 0.6429
 $H_1: \rho > 0$ (5% , 1-tail)

$$0.619 < 0.6429$$

\therefore Result is insignificant (accept H_0).
 Evidence suggests the competitor's claim is correct.

Q2a) H_0 : Student's maths grades are independent of English grades.

H_1 : Maths and english grades are not independent

$$\text{expected no.} = \frac{\text{Row total} \times \text{column total}}{\text{Grand total}}$$

EXPECTED :

	A/B	C/D	E/U
A/B	20	27.5	12.5
C-U	20	27.5	12.5

<u>O_i</u>	<u>E_i</u>	$\frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$
25	20	1.2500
25	27.5	0.2273
10	12.5	0.5000
15	20	1.2500
30	27.5	0.2273
15	12.5	0.5000
		<u>3.95</u>

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = 3.95 //$$

$$\gamma = (3-1)(2-1) = 2$$

$$\text{critical value} = \chi^2_{2}(10\%) = 4.605$$

$$3.95 < 4.605$$

\therefore Result is insignificant (accept H_0)

Evidence suggests that maths grades are independent of english grades.

- b) This would likely lead to having some expected frequencies less than 5 (and so we'd need to pool rows and/or columns).

Q3) $H_0: \mu = 18$ critical value: ± 1.6449 //
 $H_1: \mu < 18$ (5%, 1-tail)

$$\text{Test Stat} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} = \frac{16.5 - 18}{\frac{3}{\sqrt{15}}} = -1.936 //$$

$$-1.936 < -1.6449$$

\therefore Result is significant (reject H_0).
 Evidence suggests that the mean time Robert takes to complete the puzzle has decreased.

Q4a) $\hat{p} = \frac{0(17) + 1(31) + 2(19) + 3(14) + 4(9) + 5(7) + 6(3)}{20 \times 200}$

$$= \frac{206}{20 \times 200} = \frac{2}{20} = \boxed{0.1}$$

b) $X \sim B[20, 0.1]$

$$r = \overset{100 \text{ samples}}{\uparrow} 100 \times P(X=2) = 100 \left[\binom{20}{2} (0.1)^2 (0.9)^{18} \right]$$

$$= \boxed{28.5}$$

$$S = 100 - \sum E_i = 100 - (12.2 + 27 + 28.5 + 19 + 3.2 + 0.9 + 0.2)$$

$$S = \boxed{9.0}$$

- c) $H_0: B[20, 0.1]$ is a suitable model for these data.
 $H_1: B[20, 0.1]$ is not a suitable model for these data.

X	0	1	2	3	≥ 4
E_i	12.2	27.0	28.5	19.0	13.3
O_i	17	31	19	14	19
$\frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$	1.89	0.59	3.17	1.32	2.44

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = 9.4 //$$

$$\nu = \text{no. of cells after pooling} - 2 = 5 - 2 = 3 //$$

$$\text{critical value} = \chi^2_3 (5\%) = 7.815.$$

$$9.4 > 7.815$$

\therefore Result is significant. (reject H_0)

Evidence suggests that Binomial Distribution is not a suitable model.

- d) Defective items do not occur with constant probability. (This is an assumption required for a Binomial Distribution).

● (Q5a) mean = $\frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{361.6}{80} = \boxed{4.52}$

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{79} \left(\sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{80} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{79} \left(1753.95 - \frac{(361.6)^2}{80} \right)$$

$$= \boxed{1.51}$$

b) let mean weight loss with diet A = μ_A ,
and mean weight loss with diet B = μ_B ,

$$H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B$$

$$H_1: \mu_A > \mu_B$$

$$\text{Test Stat} = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_x^2}{n_x} + \frac{s_y^2}{n_y}}}$$

from (a)

$$= \frac{4.52 - 4.06 - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{2.50}{60} + \frac{1.51}{80}}} = 1.8689 //$$

critical value: $\pm 1.6449 //$
(1-tail, 5%)

$$s_o \quad 1.8689 > 1.6449$$

\therefore Result is significant (reject H_0)
Evidence suggests that mean weight loss is greater with diet A.

c) Allows us to assume sample means for diet A and B are normally distributed.

$$d) \sigma_A^2 = S_A^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_B^2 = S_B^2.$$

(By definition the test statistic uses population variance)

$$Q6) \quad 99\% \text{ C-I: } \left[\bar{x} \pm 2.5758 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

so this means the width of the interval will be $2 \times 2.5758 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$\text{width of interval} = 154.7 - 123.5 = \frac{2(2.5758)\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{31.2}{2(2.5758)} = 6.056\dots$$

$$\text{and so } \bar{x} + 2.5758 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 154.7$$

$$\bar{x} = 154.7 - 2.5758 \left(\frac{31.2}{2(2.5758)} \right)$$

$$\bar{x} = 139.1 //$$

$$\text{so } 95\% \text{ C-I: } \left[\bar{x} \pm 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[139.1 \pm 1.96 \left(\frac{31.2}{2(2.5758)} \right) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow [127.22, 150.97] //$$

$$\bullet \text{ Q7a) } L \sim N(19.7, 0.5^2)$$

$$S \sim N(4.9, 0.2^2)$$

$$P(L > 4S) = P(L - 4S > 0)$$

$$\text{let } A = L - 4S,$$

$$E(A) = 19.7 - 4(4.9) = 0.1$$

$$\text{Var}(A) = 0.5^2 + 16(0.2^2) = 0.89$$

$$\therefore A \sim N(0.1, 0.89)$$

$$\text{so } P(L - 4S > 0) = P\left(Z > \frac{-0.1}{\sqrt{0.89}}\right)$$

$$= P(Z < 0.11) = \boxed{0.5438}$$

$$\text{b) } T = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4.$$

We are taking a sample (size 4) of S , this is not the same as $4S$. S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 are all different. (independent)

$$\therefore E(T) = 4E(S) = 19.6$$

$$\text{Var}(T) = 4\text{Var}(S) = 0.16$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{T \sim N(19.6, 0.16)}$$

$$\text{c) } P(|L - T| < 0.1) = P(-0.1 < L - T < 0.1)$$

$$E(L - T) = E(L) - E(T) = 0.1$$

$$\text{Var}(L - T) = \text{Var}(L) + \text{Var}(T) = 0.41$$

$$\therefore L - T \sim N(0.1, 0.41)$$

$$P(\text{required}) = P(-0.1 < L - T < 0.1)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{-0.1 - 0.1}{\sqrt{0.41}} < Z < \frac{0.1 - 0.1}{\sqrt{0.41}}\right)$$

$$= P(-0.31 < Z < 0)$$

$$= P(Z < 0) - P(Z < -0.31)$$

$$= 0.5 - [1 - P(Z < 0.31)]$$

$$= 0.5 - [1 - 0.6217]$$

$$= \boxed{0.1217} \text{ from tables.}$$