

# 4733 Probability & Statistics 2

1	$\frac{80 - \mu}{\sigma} = \Phi^{-1}(0.95) = 1.645$ $\frac{\mu - 50}{\sigma} = \Phi^{-1}(0.75) = 0.674(5)$ <p>Solve simultaneously  <math>\mu = 58.7, \sigma = 12.9</math></p>	M1 B1 A1 M1 A1 A1	Standardise once with $\Phi^{-1}$ , allow $\sigma^2$ , cc Both 1.645 (1.64, 1.65) and [0.674, 0.675], ignore signs Both equations correct apart from wrong $z$ , <i>not</i> 1–1.645 Solve two standardised equations $\mu$ , a.r.t 58.7 $\sigma$ , a.r.t. 12.9 [ <i>not</i> $\sigma^2$ ] [ $\sigma^2$ : M1B1A0M1A1A0]
2 (i)	Let $R$ denote the number of choices which are 500 or less. $R \sim B(12, \frac{5}{6})$ $P(R = 12) = (\frac{5}{6})^{12} [=0.11216]$ = <b>0.112</b>	M1 M1 A1	$B(12, \frac{5}{6})$ stated or implied, allow 501/600 etc $p^{12}$ or $q^{12}$ or equivalent Answer, a.r.t. 0.112 [SR: $\frac{500}{600} \times \frac{499}{599} \times \frac{498}{598} \times \dots$ ; 0.110: M1A1] [M1 for 0.910 or 0.1321 or vague number of terms]
(ii)	Method unbiased; unrepresentative by chance	B1 B1	State that method is unbiased Appropriate comment (e.g. “not unlikely”) [SR: partial answer, e.g. not necessarily biased: B1]
3 (i)	$P(\leq 1) = 0.0611$ $P(\geq 9) = 1 - P(\leq 8) = 1 - 0.9597$ = 0.0403 $0.0611 + 0.0403 [= 0.1014]$ = <b>10.1%</b>	B1 M1 A1 M1 A1	0.0611 seen Find $P(\geq 9)$ , allow 8 or 10 [0.0866, 0.0171] 0.0403 correct Add probabilities of tails, <i>or</i> 1 tail $\times$ 2 Answer [10.1, 10.2]% <i>or</i> probability
(ii)	$P(2 \leq G \leq 8)$ = $0.8944 - 0.0266 [= 0.8678]$ = <b>0.868</b>	M1 M1 A1	Attempt at $P(2 \leq G \leq 8)$ , <i>not</i> isw, allow $1 \leq G \leq 9$ etc Po(5.5) tables, $P(\leq \text{top end}) - P(\leq \text{bottom end})$ Answer, a.r.t. 0.868, allow %
4 (i)	$\hat{\mu} = \bar{y} = \frac{3296.0}{40} = 82.4$ $\frac{286800.4}{40} - 82.4^2 [= 380.25]$ $S^2 \times \frac{40}{39} ; = 390$	B1 M1 M1 A1	Mean 82.4, c.a.o. Use correct formula for biased estimate Multiply by $n/(n - 1)$ [SR: all in one, M2 or M0] Variance 390, c.a.o.
(ii)	$\Phi\left(\frac{60 - 82.4}{\sqrt{390}}\right) = \Phi(-1.134)$ = $1 - 0.8716 =$ <b>0.128</b>	M1 A1	Standardise, allow 390, cc or biased estimate, +/-, do not allow $\sqrt{n}$ Answer in range [0.128, 0.129]
(iii)	No, distribution irrelevant	B1	“No” stated or implied, any valid comment
5 (i)	$H_0 : \mu = 500$ where $\mu$ denotes $H_1 : \mu < 500$ the population mean $\alpha: z = \frac{435 - 500}{100 / \sqrt{4}} = -1.3$ Compare -1.282 $\beta: 500 - 1.282 \times 100 / \sqrt{4}$ = 435.9; compare 435	B2 M1 A1 B1 M1 A1 B1	Both hypotheses stated correctly [SR: 1 error, B1, but $\bar{x}$ etc: B0] Standardise, use $\sqrt{4}$ , can be + $z = -1.3$ (allow -1.29 from cc) <i>or</i> $\Phi(z) = 0.0968$ (.0985) Compare $z$ & -1.282 <i>or</i> $p (< 0.5)$ & 0.1 or equivalent $500 - z \times 100 / \sqrt{4}$ , allow $\sqrt{4}$ errors, any $\Phi^{-1}$ , must be - CV correct, $\sqrt{4}$ on their $z$ ; 1.282 correct and compare
	Reject $H_0$ Significant evidence that number of visitors has decreased	M1 A1	Correct deduction, needs $\sqrt{4}$ , $\mu = 500$ , like-with-like Correct conclusion interpreted in context
(ii)	CLT doesn't apply as $n$ is small So need to know distribution	M1 B1	Correct reason [“ $n$ is small” is sufficient] Refer to distribution, e.g. “if not normal, can't do it”

6	(i)	(a) $1 - 0.8153$ $= 0.1847$ (b) $0.8153 - 0.6472$ $= \mathbf{0.168}$	M1 A1 2 M1 A1 2	Po(3) tables, "1 -" used, e.g. 0.3528 or 0.0839 Answer 0.1847 or 0.185 Subtract 2 tabular values, or formula $[e^{-3} 3^{4/4}]$ Answer, a.r.t. 0.168
	(ii)	$N(150, 150)$ $1 - \Phi\left(\frac{165.5 - 150}{\sqrt{150}}\right)$ $= 1 - \Phi(1.266) = \mathbf{0.103}$	B1 B1 M1 A1 A1 5	Normal, mean $3 \times 50$ stated or implied Variance or SD = $3 \times 50$ , or same as $\mu$ Standardise 165 with $\lambda$ , $\sqrt{\lambda}$ or $\lambda$ , any or no cc $\sqrt{\lambda}$ and 165.5 Answer in range [0.102, 0.103]
	(iii)	(a) The sale of one house does not affect the sale of any others (b) The average number of houses sold in a given time interval is constant	B1 B1 2	Relevant answer that shows evidence of correct understanding [but <i>not</i> just examples] Different reason, in context [Allow "constant rate" or "uniform" but not "number constant", "random", "singly", "events".]
7	(i)	$\int_0^2 kx dx = \left[\frac{kx^2}{2}\right]_0^2 = 2k$ $= 1$ so $k = \frac{1}{2}$	M1 A1 2	Use $\int_0^2 kx dx = 1$ , or area of triangle Correctly obtain $k = \frac{1}{2}$ <b>AG</b>
	(ii)		B1 B1 2	Straight line, positive gradient, through origin Correct, some evidence of truncation, no need for vertical
	(iii)	$\int_0^2 \frac{1}{2}x^2 dx = \left[\frac{1}{6}x^3\right]_0^2 = \frac{4}{3}$ $\int_0^2 \frac{1}{2}x^3 dx = \left[\frac{1}{8}x^4\right]_0^2 [= 2]$ $2 - \left(\frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{9}$	M1 A1 M1 M1 A1 5	Use $\int_0^2 kx^2 dx$ ; $\frac{4}{3}$ seen or implied Use $\int_0^2 kx^3 dx$ ; subtract their mean <sup>2</sup> Answer $\frac{2}{9}$ or a.r.t. 0.222, c.a.o.
	(iv)		M1 A1√ 2	Translate horizontally, allow stated, or "1, 2" on axis One unit to right, 1 and 3 indicated, nothing wrong seen, no need for vertical or emphasised zero bits [If in doubt as to → or ↓, M0 in this part]
	(v)	$\frac{7}{3}$ $\frac{2}{9}$	B1√ B1√ 2	Previous mean + 1 Previous variance [If in doubt as to → or ↓, B1B1 in this part]

8	(i)	$H_0: p = 0.65$ OR $p \geq 0.65$ $H_1: p < 0.65$ $B(12, 0.65)$	B2 M1	Both hypotheses correctly stated, in this form [One error (but not $r$ , $x$ or $\bar{x}$ ): B1] $B(12, 0.65)$ stated or implied
		$\alpha$ : $P(\leq 6) = 0.2127$ Compare 0.10	A1 B1	Correct probability from tables, <i>not</i> $P(= 6)$ Explicit comparison with 0.10
		$\beta$ : Critical region $\leq 5$ ; $6 > 5$ Probability 0.0846	B1 A1	Critical region $\leq 5$ or $\leq 6$ or $\{\leq 4\} \cap \{\geq 11\}$ & compare 6 Correct probability
		Do not reject $H_0$ Insufficient evidence that proportion of population in favour is not at least 65%	M1√ A1√ 7	Correct comparison and conclusion, needs correct distribution, correct tail, like-with-like Interpret in context, e.g. “consistent with claim” [SR: $N(7.8, 2.73)$ : can get B2M1A0B1M0: 4 ex 7]
	(ii)	Insufficient evidence to reject claim; test and $p/q$ symmetric	B1√ B1 2	Same conclusion as for part (i), don’t need context Valid relevant reason, e.g. “same as (i)”
	(iii)	$R \sim B(2n, 0.65)$ , $P(R \leq n) > 0.15$ $B(18, 0.65)$ , $p = 0.1391$  Therefore $n = 9$	M1 A1 A1 A1 4	$B(2n, 0.65)$ , $P(R \leq n) > 0.15$ stated or implied Any probability in list below seen $p = 0.1391$ picked out (i.e., not just in a list of $> 2$ ) Final answer $n = 9$ only [SR $< n$ : M1A0, $n = 4$ , 0.1061 A1A0] [SR 2-tail: M1A1A0A1 for 15 or 14] [SR: 9 only, no working: M1A1] [MR $B(12, 0.35)$ : M1A0, $n = 4$ , 0.1061 A1A0]  3    0.3529    7    0.1836    12    0.0942 4    0.2936    8    0.1594    13    0.0832 5    0.2485    9    0.1391    14    0.0736 6    0.2127    10    0.1218    15    0.0652