

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

Question 1

<p>(i)</p>	<p>EITHER:</p> $S_{xy} = \sum xy - \frac{1}{n} \sum x \sum y = 1398.56 - \frac{1}{14} \times 139.8 \times 140.4$ $= -3.434$ $S_{xx} = \sum x^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x)^2 = 1411.66 - \frac{1}{14} \times 139.8^2 = 15.657$ $S_{yy} = \sum y^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum y)^2 = 1417.88 - \frac{1}{14} \times 140.4^2 = 9.869$ $r = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}} = \frac{-3.434}{\sqrt{15.657 \times 9.869}}$ $= -0.276$ <p>OR:</p> $\text{cov}(x,y) = \frac{\sum xy}{n} - \bar{x}\bar{y} = 1398.56/14 - 9.9857 \times 10.0286$ $= -0.2454$ $\text{rmsd}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{S_{xx}}{n}} = \sqrt{(15.657/14)} = \sqrt{1.1184} = 1.0575$ $\text{rmsd}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{S_{yy}}{n}} = \sqrt{(9.869/14)} = \sqrt{0.7049} = 0.8396$ $r = \frac{\text{cov}(x,y)}{\text{rmsd}(x)\text{rmsd}(y)} = \frac{-0.2454}{1.0575 \times 0.8396}$ $= -0.276$ <p>NB: using only 3dp in calculating \bar{x} and \bar{y} leads to answer of 0.284 which is still in the acceptable range</p>	<p>M1 for method for S_{xy}</p> <p>M1 for method for at least one of S_{xx} or S_{yy}</p> <p>A1 for at least one of S_{xy}, S_{xx}, S_{yy} correct</p> <p>M1 for structure of r</p> <p>A1 (-0.27 to -0.28 to 2dp)</p> <p>M1 for method for cov (x,y)</p> <p>M1 for method for at least one msd</p> <p>A1 for at least one of cov (x,y), msd(x), msd(y) correct</p> <p>M1 for structure of r</p> <p>A1 (-0.27 to -0.28 to 2dp)</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>If \bar{x} and \bar{y} used in rounded form, be generous with first A1</p> <p>Structure of r needs to be fully correct in all parts – the first two M1 marks must have been earned and $r = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}}$ applied.</p> <p>If \bar{x} and \bar{y} used in rounded form, be generous with first A1</p> <p>Structure of r needs to be fully correct in all parts – the first two M1 marks must have been earned and $r = \frac{\text{cov}(x,y)}{\text{rmsd}(x)\text{rmsd}(y)}$ applied.</p>
------------	---	---	-----------------	--

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

(ii)	<p>$H_0: \rho = 0$ $H_1: \rho \neq 0$ (two-tailed test)</p> <p>where ρ is the population correlation coefficient</p> <p>For $n = 14$, 5% critical value = -0.5324</p> <p>Since $-0.276 > -0.5324$ the result is not significant. Thus we do not have sufficient evidence to reject H_0</p> <p>There is not sufficient evidence at the 5% level to suggest that there is correlation between birth rate and death rate</p>	<p>B1 for H_0, H_1 in symbols</p> <p>B1 for defining ρ</p> <p>B1 for critical value (+ or -)</p> <p>M1 for a sensible comparison leading to a conclusion (provided that $-1 < r < 1$)</p> <p>A1 for correct result ft their r</p> <p>B1 ft for conclusion in context</p>	6	<p>Condone hypotheses written in words and context. e.g. allow H_0: There is no correlation between x & y, H_1: There is correlation between x & y. (i.e. allow x & y as 'context' since these are defined in the question)</p> <p>NB If hypotheses given only in words and 'association' mentioned then do not award first B1 and last B1</p> <p>For hypotheses written in words, candidates must make it clear that they are testing for evidence of correlation in the population.</p> <p>One-tailed test cv = $(-) 0.4575$</p> <p>Comparison should be between the candidate's value of r from part (i) and an appropriate cv (i.e. the sign of the cv and the sign of r should be the same).</p> <p>NOTE If result not stated but final conclusion is correct award SC1 to replace the final A1 B1</p>
(iii)	<p>The underlying population must have a bivariate Normal distribution. Since the scatter diagram has a roughly elliptical shape.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>E1 for elliptical shape</p>	2	<p>Not bivariate and Normal</p>
(iv)	<p>Because this data point is a long way from the other data and it is below and to the right of the other data.</p> <p>It does bring the validity of the test into question since this extra data point is so far from the other points and so there is less evidence of ellipticity.</p>	<p>E1 for a long way</p> <p>E1 for below and to the right of.</p> <p>E1 for does cast doubt on validity</p> <p>E1 for less elliptical</p>	4	<p>Indication that the point is (possibly) an outlier For identifying the position of this point (allow in terms of x and y)</p> <p>Allow 'no' but only with with suitable explanation e.g. the sample is still too small to provide evidence either for or against the presence of ellipticity.</p>
	TOTAL	17		

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

Question 2

(i)	$\text{Mean} = \frac{\sum xf}{n} = \frac{0+15+24+27+16+10}{50}$ $= \frac{92}{50} = 1.84$ $\text{Variance} = \frac{1}{n-1} (\sum fx^2 - n\bar{x}^2)$ $= \frac{1}{49} (258 - 50 \times 1.84^2)$ $= 1.81 \text{ (to 2 d.p.)}$	<p>B1 for mean</p> <p>M1 for calculation</p> <p>A1</p>	3	<p>Use of MSD gets M1 A0</p> <p>Standard deviation gets M0 A0 unless "Variance = 1.81" is seen.</p>
(ii)	Because the mean is close to the variance	B1	1	Must compare mean and their variance as found in part (i)
(iii)	<p>(A) $P(\text{No sultanas}) = e^{-1.84} \frac{1.84^0}{0!}$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$= 0.159 \text{ (3 s.f.)}$</p> <p>(B) $P(\text{At least two sultanas}) =$</p> $1 - e^{-1.84} \frac{1.84^0}{0!} - e^{-1.84} \frac{1.84^1}{1!}$ $= 1 - 0.159 - 0.292 = 0.549$	<p>M1 for probability calc.</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1 for P(1)</p> <p>M1 for $1 - [P(0) + P(1)]$ used</p> <p>A1 cao</p>	5	<p>[1.8 leads to 0.1653]</p> <p>Or attempt to find $P(2) + P(3) + P(4) + \dots + P(8)$</p> <p>Use of $\lambda = 1.8$ loses both accuracy marks</p> <p>[1.8 leads to $1 - 0.4296 = 0.5372$]</p>
(iv)	$\lambda = 5 \times 1.84 = 9.2$ <p>Using tables: $P(X \geq 10) = 1 - P(X \leq 9)$</p> $= 1 - 0.5611 \text{ (= 0.4389 NB ANSWER GIVEN)}$	<p>B1 for mean (SOI)</p> <p>M1 for $1 - P(X \leq 9)$</p> <p>A1</p>	3	Any λ

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

(v)	<p>P(2 out of 6 contain at least ten sultanas)</p> $= \binom{6}{2} \times 0.4389^2 \times 0.5611^4 = 0.2864$	<p>M1 for $p^2 \times q^4$ M1 dep for coefficient A1</p>	3	<p>$p + q = 1$ Coefficient of 15 as part of a binomial calculation ft if p rounded from part (iv)</p>
(vi)	<p>Use Normal approx with</p> $\mu = np = 60 \times 0.4389 = 26.334$ $\sigma^2 = npq = 60 \times 0.4389 \times 0.5611 = 14.776$ $P(X > 30) = P\left(Z > \frac{30.5 - 26.334}{\sqrt{14.776}}\right)$ $= P(Z > 1.0838) = 1 - \Phi(1.0838)$ $= 1 - 0.8608$ $= 0.1392$	<p>B1 for μ B1 for σ^2</p> <p>B1 for correct continuity correction</p> <p>M1 for probability using correct tail. FT their μ & σ^2</p> <p>A1 cao</p>	5	<p>SOI Allow 26.3 Allow 14.8 ...</p> <p>...(giving $P(Z > 1.091..)$ = 0.137 3sf)</p> <p>But do not FT wrong or omitted CC</p>
			20	

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

Question 3

(i)	<p>(A) $P(X < 325)$ $= P\left(Z < \frac{325 - 355}{52}\right)$ $= P(Z < -0.577)$ $= 1 - \Phi(0.577) = 1 - 0.7181$ $= 0.2819$</p> <p>(B) $P(300 < X < 400)$ $= P\left(\frac{300 - 355}{52} < Z < \frac{400 - 355}{52}\right)$ $= P(-1.058 < Z < 0.865)$ $= \Phi(0.865) - (1 - \Phi(1.058))$ $= 0.8065 - (1 - 0.8549)$ $= 0.6614$ (0.6615 from GDC)</p>	<p>M1 for standardising</p> <p>M1 for correct structure</p> <p>A1 CAO</p> <p>M1 for standardising both</p> <p>M1 for correct structure</p> <p>A1 CAO</p>	<p>NB When a candidate's answers suggest that (s)he appears to have neglected to use the difference column of the Normal distribution tables penalise the first occurrence only Ignore spurious continuity corrections & allow reversal of numerator</p> <p>i.e. correct tail (including below a negative z)</p> <p>Allow answers which round to 0.282</p> <p>3</p> <p>Penalise spurious continuity corrections</p> <p>Allow 0.663 if penalised inappropriate table use already Use of standard deviation = $\sqrt{52}$ or 52^2 can earn M1 for structure only in each part – max 2/6</p> <p>3</p>
(ii)	<p>From tables $\Phi^{-1}(0.2) = -0.8416$</p> $\frac{k - 355}{52} = -0.8416$ $k = 355 - 0.8416 \times 52 = 311.2$	<p>B1 for ± 0.8416 seen</p> <p>M1 for equation in k</p> <p>A1 CAO</p>	<p>NOT $1 - 0.8416$</p> <p>Equation must be equivalent to this. Penalise use of $+ 0.8416$ unless numerator has been reversed. Condone other z values but use of probabilities here, e.g. use of 0.2 or $\Phi(0.2) = 0.5793$, gets M0 A0 Allow 311</p> <p>3</p>

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

(iii)	<p>$H_0: \mu = 355;$ $H_1: \mu \neq 355.$ Where μ denotes the population mean (reaction time for women)</p> $\text{Test statistic} = \frac{344 - 355}{52/\sqrt{25}} = \frac{-11}{10.4} = -1.058$ <p>5% level 2 tailed critical value of $z = 1.96$ $-1.058 > -1.96$ so not significant. There is not sufficient evidence to reject H_0</p> <p>There is insufficient evidence to conclude that women have a different reaction time from men in this experiment.</p>	<p>B1 for use of 355 in hypotheses B1 for both correct B1 for definition of μ</p> <p>M1 must include $\sqrt{25}$ A1</p> <p>B1 for 1.96 M1 for a sensible comparison leading to a conclusion</p> <p>A1 for correct conclusion in words in context</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>Use of 355 in hypotheses and hypotheses given in terms of μ not p or x, etc. unless letter used is clearly defined as population mean</p> <p>Allow + 1.058 only if later compared with + 1.96</p> <p>Or -1.96</p> <p>Do not accept 'men and women have same reaction time'</p>
		TOTAL	17	

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

Question 4

(i)	<p>H_0: no association between pebble size and site H_1: some association between pebble size and site;</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1 A2 for expected values (to 2 dp) (allow A1 for at least one row or column correct)</p> <p>M1 for valid attempt at $(O-E)^2/E$ A1</p> <p>M1 for summation A1 for X^2</p> <p>B1 for 4 deg of freedom B1 CAO for cv</p> <p>B1 ft their 'sensible' X^2 and critical value</p> <p>E1 must be consistent with their X^2</p>	<p>Must be in context NB if H_0 H_1 reversed, or 'correlation' mentioned, do not award first B1 or final E1</p> <p>1d.p.can get M1A1A0 M1A2 can be implied by correct contributions/final answer</p> <p>NB These (M1A1) marks cannot be implied by a correct final value of X^2. A1 for at least 1 row/column correct</p> <p>Dependent on previous M1</p> <p>Award only if no incorrect working seen</p> <p>Allow reject H_0. B0 if critical value of 0.711 (lower tail) or 2.776 (t distribution) used.</p> <p>Dependent on previous B1 SC1 (to replace B1E1 if first B1B1 earned where 'significant' not stated but final statement is correct)</p>																														
	<table border="1" data-bbox="174 343 875 499"> <thead> <tr> <th>EXPECTED</th> <th>Site A</th> <th>Site B</th> <th>Site C</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Large</td> <td>13.70</td> <td>9.44</td> <td>13.86</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Medium</td> <td>33.33</td> <td>22.96</td> <td>33.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Small</td> <td>42.96</td> <td>29.60</td> <td>43.44</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" data-bbox="174 571 875 727"> <thead> <tr> <th>CONTRIB'N</th> <th>Site A</th> <th>Site B</th> <th>Site C</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Large</td> <td>0.1226</td> <td>0.6940</td> <td>1.0731</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Medium</td> <td>0.8533</td> <td>1.5484</td> <td>3.7861</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Small</td> <td>0.3793</td> <td>0.3913</td> <td>1.2744</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>$X^2 = 10.12$</p> <p>Refer to X_4^2</p> <p>Critical value at 5% level = 9.488</p> <p>Result is significant</p> <p>There is evidence to suggest that there is some association between pebble size and site</p>			EXPECTED	Site A	Site B	Site C	Large	13.70	9.44	13.86	Medium	33.33	22.96	33.70	Small	42.96	29.60	43.44	CONTRIB'N	Site A	Site B	Site C	Large	0.1226	0.6940	1.0731	Medium	0.8533	1.5484	3.7861	Small	0.3793
EXPECTED	Site A	Site B	Site C																														
Large	13.70	9.44	13.86																														
Medium	33.33	22.96	33.70																														
Small	42.96	29.60	43.44																														
CONTRIB'N	Site A	Site B	Site C																														
Large	0.1226	0.6940	1.0731																														
Medium	0.8533	1.5484	3.7861																														
Small	0.3793	0.3913	1.2744																														

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

(ii)	<p>Site A Contributes least to χ^2 showing that frequencies are as expected if there were no association. OR Contribution of 0.8533 implies that there are (slightly) fewer medium pebbles than expected.</p> <p>Site B Contribution of 1.5484 implies that there are fewer medium pebbles than expected.</p> <p>Site C Contribution of 3.7861 implies that there are a lot more medium than expected.</p> <p>NB MAX 3/6 for answers not referring to contributions (explicitly or implicitly).</p>	<p>E2,1,0</p> <p>E2,1,0</p> <p>E2,1,0 Need 'a lot more' for E2</p>	<p>2</p> <p>2</p> <p>2</p>	<p>NOTE For each site, some reference to contributions needed (explicitly or implicitly).</p> <p>Award E2 only if no incorrect additional comment made. Allow large/small 'as expected' or 'more than expected' and medium 'as expected' or 'less than expected' for E1 (if contribution not mentioned)</p> <p>Award E2 only if no incorrect additional comment made. Allow large/small 'as expected' or 'more than expected' and medium 'less than expected' for E1 (if contribution not mentioned)</p> <p>Award E2 only if no incorrect additional comment made. Allow large/small 'fewer than expected' and medium 'more than expected' for E1 (if contribution not mentioned)</p>
		TOTAL	18	

4767

Mark Scheme

January 2011

Additional notes re Q1(ii)

For those carrying out a one-tailed test, B0 B1 B1 M1 A1 B1 is available provided that working is consistent with a one-tailed test being used.

For the final B1 to be earned, the conclusion should refer to alternative hypothesis used. e.g. 'There is not sufficient evidence at the 5% level to suggest that there is a **negative** correlation between birth rate and death rate'.

If the cv is taken from the Spearman's Test table (i.e. -0.5385 and -0.4637) then the third B1 will be lost.

If other 'sensible' cvs are used then only B1 B1 B0 M1 A0 B0 available. Use of t distribution leads to B1 B1 B0 M0 A0 B0 max.

Additional notes re Q3(iii)Critical Value Method

$355 - 1.96 \times 52 \div \sqrt{25}$ gets M1B1

= 334.6... gets A1

334.6 < 344 gets M1 for sensible comparison

A1 still available for correct conclusion in words & context

Confidence Interval Method

CI centred on 344

+ or - $1.96 \times 52 \div \sqrt{25}$ gets M1 B1

= (323.62, 364.384) A1

contains 355 gets M1

A1 still available for correct conclusion in words & context

Probability Method

Finding $P(\text{sample mean} < 344) = 0.1451$ gets M1 A1 B1

$0.1451 > 0.025$ gets M1 for a sensible comparison if a conclusion is $0.1451 > 0.05$ gets M1 A0 unless using one tailed test

A1 still available for correct conclusion in words & context.

Condone $P(\text{sample mean} > 344) = 0.8549$ for M1 but only allow A1 if later compared with 0.975 at which point the final M1 and A1 are still available

One-tailed test

Max B1 B0 B1 M1 A1 B1 (for cv = -1.645) M1 A1 (provided that the conclusion relates to $H_1: \mu < 355$, e.g. there is insufficient evidence to suggest that women have a lower reaction time than men in this experiment).

Consistent use of $\sigma = \sqrt{52}$

Do not penalise in parts (ii) and (iii).