

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance												
1	(i)	<table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td>x</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.1963</td> <td>0.3927</td> <td>0.5890</td> <td>0.7854</td> </tr> <tr> <td>y</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.4493</td> <td>0.6792</td> <td>0.9498</td> <td>1.3254</td> </tr> </table> $A = (\pi/32) [(0 + 1.3254) + 2(0.4493 + 0.6792 + 0.9498)]$ $= 0.538$	x	0	0.1963	0.3927	0.5890	0.7854	y	0	0.4493	0.6792	0.9498	1.3254	<p>B2,1,0</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[4]</p>	<p>For values 0.4493,0.6792,0.9498 (4dp or better soi) [accept truncated to 4 figs after dec point]</p> <p>[cannot assume values of form $(\pi/16)^3 + \sqrt{(\sin \pi/16)}$ are correct unless followed by correct total at some later stage as some will be in degree mode]</p> <p>Use of the trapezium rule. Trapezium rule formula for 4 strips must be seen, with or without substitution seen. Correct h must be soi. [accept separate trapezia added]</p> <p>0.538 www 3dp only (NB using 1.325 is ww)</p> <p>SC B0 0.538 without any working as no indication of strips or method used</p> <p>SC B1 0.538 with some indication of 4 strips but no values seen</p> <p>Correct values followed by 0.538 scores B2 B0</p> <p>Correct values followed by correct formula for 4 strips, with or without substitution seen, then $A= 0.538$ scores 4/4.</p> <p>Correct formula for 4 strips and values of form $((\pi/16)^3 + \sqrt{(\sin \pi/16)})...$ followed by correct answer scores 4/4 (or $3/4$ with wrong dp)</p> <p>NB Values given in the table to only 3dp give apparently the correct answer, but scores B0,M1A0 ww</p>
x	0	0.1963	0.3927	0.5890	0.7854											
y	0	0.4493	0.6792	0.9498	1.3254											
1	(ii)	Not possible to say, eg some trapezia are above and some below curve oe.	B1	Need a reason. Must be without further calculation.												
			[1]													

<p>2(i) When $x = 0.5$, $y = 1.1180$ $\Rightarrow A \approx 0.25/2\{1+1.4142+2(1.0308+1.1180+1.25)\}$ $= 0.25 \times 4.6059 = 1.151475$ $= 1.151$ (3 d.p.)*</p>	<p>B1 M1 E1 [3]</p>	<p>4dp (0.125 x 9.2118) need evidence</p>
<p>(ii) Explain that the area is an over-estimate. <i>or</i> The curve is below the trapezia, so the area is an over- estimate.</p> <p>This becomes less with more strips. <i>or</i> Greater number of strips improves accuracy so becomes less</p>	<p>B1 B1 [2]</p>	<p>or use a diagram to show why</p>
<p>(iii) $V = \int_0^1 \pi y^2 dx$ $= \int_0^1 \pi(1+x^2) dx$ $= \pi \left[x + x^3/3 \right]_0^1$ $= 1\frac{1}{3}\pi$</p>	<p>M1 B1 A1 [3]</p>	<p>allow limits later $x + x^3/3$ exact</p>

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	(a)		$V = \int_0^2 \pi y^2 dx = \int_0^2 \pi(1 + e^{2x}) dx$ $= \pi \left[x + \frac{1}{2} e^{2x} \right]_0^2$ $= \pi(2 + \frac{1}{2} e^4 - \frac{1}{2})$ $= \frac{1}{2} \pi(3 + e^4)$	<p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>DM1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[4]</p>	<p>$\int_0^2 \pi(1 + e^{2x}) dx$ limits must appear but may be later</p> <p>condone omission of dx if intention clear</p> <p>$\left[x + \frac{1}{2} e^{2x} \right]$ independent of π and limits</p> <p>dependent on first M1. Need both limits substituted in their integral of the form $ax + b e^{2x}$, where a, b non-zero constants. Accept answers including e^0 for M1. Condone absence of π for M1 at this stage</p> <p>cao exact only</p>
3	(b)	(i)	<p>$x = 0, y = 1.4142; x = 2, y = 7.4564$</p> $A = 0.5/2 \{ (1.4142 + 7.4564) + 2(1.9283 + 2.8964 + 4.5919) \}$ <p>= 6.926</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[3]</p>	<p>1.414, 7.456 or better</p> <p>correct formula seen (can be implied by correct intermediate step eg 27.7038../4)</p> <p>6.926 or 6.93 (do not allow more dp)</p>
3	(b)	(ii)	<p>8 strips: 6.823, 16 strips: 6.797</p> <p>Trapezium rule overestimates this area, but the overestimate gets less as the no of strips increases.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>[1]</p>	<p>oe</p>

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	(i)		1, 0.6186, 0 $A \approx (\pi/16)\{1 + 0 + 2(0.9612 + 0.8409 + 0.6186)\}$ = 1.147 (3 dp)	B1 M1 A1 [3]	4dp (or more) ft their table. Need to see trapezium rule. cao
4	(ii)		The estimate will increase, because the trapezia will be below but closer to the curve, reducing the error.	B1 [1]	o.e., or an illustration using the curve full answer required

<p>5(i)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="232 277 807 349"> <tr> <td>x</td> <td>-</td> <td>-1</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>y</td> <td>1.0655</td> <td>1.1696</td> <td>1.4142</td> <td>1.9283</td> <td>2.8964</td> </tr> </table> <p>$A \approx \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \{ 1.0655 + 2.8964 + 2(1.1696 + 1.4142 + 1.9283) \}$ $= 6.493$</p>	x	-	-1	0	1	2	y	1.0655	1.1696	1.4142	1.9283	2.8964	<p>B2,1,0 M1 A1 [4]</p>	<p>table values formula 6.5 or better www</p>
x	-	-1	0	1	2									
y	1.0655	1.1696	1.4142	1.9283	2.8964									
<p>(ii) Smaller, as the trapezium rule is an over-estimate in this case and the error is less with more strips</p>	<p>B1 B1 [2]</p>													

<p>6(i) $A \approx 0.5 \left[\frac{1.1696 + 1.0655}{2} + 1.1060 \right]$ $= 1.11$ (3 s f.)</p>	<p>M1 A1 cao [2]</p>	<p>Correct expression for trapezium rule</p>
<p>(ii) $(1 + e^{-x})^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-x} + \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot -\frac{1}{2}}{2!}(e^{-x})^2 + \dots$ $\approx 1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-x} - \frac{1}{8}e^{-2x} *$</p>	<p>M1 A1 E1 [3]</p>	<p>Binomial expansion with $p = \frac{1}{2}$ Correct coeffs</p>
<p>(iii) $I = \int_1^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-x} - \frac{1}{8}e^{-2x} \right) dx$ $= \left[x - \frac{1}{2}e^{-x} + \frac{1}{16}e^{-2x} \right]_1^2$ $= \left(2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2} + \frac{1}{16}e^{-4} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-1} + \frac{1}{16}e^{-2} \right)$ $= 1.9335 - 0.8245$ $= 1.11$ (3 s.f.)</p>	<p>M1 A1 A1 [3]</p>	<p>integration substituting limits into correct expression</p>