



## Mark Scheme (Results)

January 2022

Pearson Edexcel International  
Advanced A Level in History (WHI01/1)

Paper 1: Depth Study with  
Interpretations

Option 1C: Germany, 1918-45

## **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at [www.edexcel.com](http://www.edexcel.com) or [www.btec.co.uk](http://www.btec.co.uk). Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at [www.edexcel.com/contactus](http://www.edexcel.com/contactus).

## **Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere**

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at [www.pearson.com/uk](http://www.pearson.com/uk)

January 2022

Publication Code: WHI01\_1C\_2201\_MS

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2022

## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

## Generic Level Descriptors for Paper 1

**Targets: AO1 (10 marks):** Demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts, as relevant, of cause, consequence, change, continuity, similarity, difference and significance.

**AO3 (15 marks):** Analyse and evaluate, in relation to the historical context, difference ways in which aspects of the past have been interpreted.

| Level    | Mark         | Descriptor  |
|----------|--------------|---|
|          | <b>0</b>     | No rewardable material.   |
| <b>1</b> | <b>1-6</b>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simple or generalised statements are made about the view presented in the question.</li> <li>• Some accurate and relevant knowledge is included, but it lacks range and depth and does not directly address the issue in the question.</li> <li>• Judgement on the view is assertive, with little supporting evidence.</li> </ul>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>7-12</b>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some understanding of the issue raised by the question is shown and analysis is attempted by describing some points that are relevant.</li> <li>• Mostly accurate knowledge is included, but it lacks range or depth and only has implicit links to issues relevant to the question.</li> <li>• A judgement on the view is given, but with limited support and the criteria for judgement are left implicit.</li> </ul>  |
| <b>3</b> | <b>13-18</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Understanding and some analysis of the issue raised by the question is shown by selecting and explaining some key points of view that are relevant.</li> <li>• Knowledge is included to demonstrate some understanding of the issues raised by the question, but material lacks range or depth</li> <li>• Attempts are made to establish criteria for judgement on the view and to relate the overall judgement to them, although with weak substantiation.</li> </ul>   |
| <b>4</b> | <b>19-25</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Key issues relevant to the question are explored by analysing and explaining the issues of interpretation raised by the claim.</li> <li>• Sufficient knowledge is deployed to demonstrate understanding of the issues raised by the question and to meet most of its demands.</li> <li>• Valid criteria by which the view can be judged are established and applied in the process of coming to a judgement. Although some of the evaluations may only be partly substantiated, the overall judgement is supported.</li> </ul> |

| Question | Indicative content   |
|----------|--|
| 1        | <p>Answers will be credited according to candidates' deployment of material in relation to the qualities outlined in the generic mark scheme. The indicative content below is not prescriptive and candidates are not required to include all the material that is indicated as relevant.</p> <p>Candidates are expected to reach a judgement on whether in the years 1919-23, the most damaging consequence of the Treaty of Versailles for Germany was its economic impact.</p> <p>The evidence supporting the given view should be analysed and evaluated. Relevant points may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Germany was made financially liable for the cost of the war, e.g. reparations were set, in 1921, at £6,600m and this damaged Germany's ability to recover economically from the war</li> <li>• Germany lost territory of economic importance, e.g. losing 20% of coal production and 15% of agricultural resources, and this damaged their ability to rebuild economically after the war</li> <li>• All German colonies were to be handed over to the Allies and then organised by the League of Nations as mandates, which meant that Germany was no longer able to benefit economically from former colonies.</li> </ul> <p>The evidence countering or modifying the given view should be analysed and evaluated. Relevant points may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All of Germany's military capability was limited, e.g. army reduced to 100,000 men, no submarines, which meant that Germany would find it difficult to defend herself in the future</li> <li>• Germany was made fully responsible for starting the war, e.g. Article 231 blamed Germany for the war by stating 'war guilt', and this damaged German moral standing</li> <li>• Germany lost territory of symbolic importance, e.g. Alsace-Lorraine to France and this damaged Germany in the eyes of its people</li> <li>• Germany lost land to Poland, meaning that large numbers of Germans now lived in Poland beyond the control of the German government</li> <li>• The demilitarisation of the Rhineland damaged Germany's ability to defend itself against France. It proved to be a bone of contention, e.g. Ruhr Occupation.</li> </ul> <p>Other relevant material must be credited.</p> |

| Question | Indicative content   |
|----------|--|
| 2        | <p>Answers will be credited according to candidates' deployment of material in relation to the qualities outlined in the generic mark scheme. The indicative content below is not prescriptive and candidates are not required to include all the material that is indicated as relevant.</p> <p>Candidates are expected to reach a judgement on whether the most significant achievement of the Weimar Republic, in the years 1924-29, was cultural development.</p> <p>The evidence supporting the given view should be analysed and evaluated. Relevant points may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The explosion in new cultural ideas saw an era of innovation in the arts, which rejected traditional and conventional styles, and put the Republic at the forefront of European cultural development</li> <li>• The development of mass culture was seen as a mirror image of the Republic's development of democracy, and part of its recovery and rehabilitation from the humiliation of the First World War and Versailles</li> <li>• Bauhaus saw innovation in industrial design, photography and commercial art that was reflected in the use of materials such as steel, concrete and glass, which was seen in towns and cities across the Republic</li> <li>• Popular culture flourished in the 1920s with the expansion of the press, radio, cinema and spectator sport. The Republic had more cinemas than all the other European countries put together.</li> </ul> <p>The evidence countering or modifying the given view should be analysed and evaluated. Relevant points may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Weimar Republic partially resolved the reparations issue through negotiation and the adoption of the Dawes Plan</li> <li>• Stresemann successfully re-integrated Germany diplomatically with other European nations</li> <li>• The Weimar Republic introduced new welfare schemes that brought in a comprehensive unemployment insurance system, which protected workers and led to better medical provision</li> <li>• The Weimar Republic was able to maintain a functioning liberal democracy.</li> </ul> <p>Other relevant material must be credited.</p> |

| Question | Indicative content   |
|----------|--|
| 3        | <p>Answers will be credited according to candidates' deployment of material in relation to the qualities outlined in the generic mark scheme. The indicative content below is not prescriptive and candidates are not required to include all the material that is indicated as relevant.</p> <p>Candidates are expected to reach a judgement on whether in the years 1933-34 the Reichstag Fire was the most significant event in the establishment of the Nazi dictatorship.</p> <p>The evidence supporting the given view should be analysed and evaluated. Relevant points may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Reichstag Fire gave the Nazis a tactical and propaganda opportunity to portray themselves as the defenders of the Reich</li> <li>• The Fire enabled Hitler to get Hindenburg to sign the Emergency Decree for the Protection of People and State, which suspended democratic freedoms</li> <li>• The Fire enabled the Nazis to blame the Communists for planning a national coup and as a consequence the Communists were arrested and the Nazis and their allies had a sufficient majority to pass the Enabling Act</li> <li>• As a consequence of actions taken because of the fire, the Nazis had a legal basis for persecution, terrorism and repression of all opposition, all features of a dictatorship.</li> </ul> <p>The evidence countering or modifying the given view should be analysed and evaluated. Relevant points may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hitler was legally appointed Chancellor January 30, 1933, and this gave him the basis to begin the process of establishing a dictatorship, e.g. making deals with the army and big business</li> <li>• Goebbel's use of spectacle and propaganda, e.g. on The Day of Potsdam, Goebbels organised the opening of the new parliament in grand style as a coming together of the traditional and new forces</li> <li>• The Nazis' effective use of the Enabling Act meant they could rule without opposition as a dictatorship, e.g. in May 1933 trade unions were banned, in July 1933 all political parties, except the Nazis, were made illegal</li> <li>• The death of Hindenburg (1934) allowed Hitler to combine the role of Chancellor and President, and enforce an oath of loyalty on the armed forces.</li> </ul> <p>Other relevant material must be credited.</p> |

| Question | Indicative content  |
|----------|---|
| 4        | <p>Answers will be credited according to candidates' deployment of material in relation to the qualities outlined in the generic mark scheme. The indicative content below is not prescriptive and candidates are not required to include all the material that is indicated as relevant.</p> <p>Candidates are expected to reach a judgement on whether the impact of Germany's invasion of western Russia was the main reason for the evolution of the genocide of Jewish people in the years 1939-45.</p> <p>The evidence supporting the given view should be analysed and evaluated. Relevant points may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It was the invasion and occupation of areas of western Russia that was the driving force behind the evolution of the policy of genocide as the Germans now controlled an area with significant Jewish population</li> <li>• It was after the invasion of the USSR that the Einsatzgruppen began a programme of deliberate murder as a solution to the problem</li> <li>• The invasion saw the association of Jews with communism in the USSR and this informed the evolution of the policy of genocide, e.g. the Nazi desire to rid occupied areas of Jews and communists.</li> </ul> <p>The evidence countering or modifying the given view should be analysed and evaluated. Relevant points may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Germany's conquest of Poland increased the Jewish population of the German Empire by 3,300,000 people and, as a consequence, a more radical solution to the 'Jewish problem' was sought</li> <li>• In January 1939 Himmler and his organisation were given responsibility for the 'Jewish Question' and finding solutions to the problem</li> <li>• The outbreak of war with the USA December 1941, reinforced the Nazis' view of the war as a racial war</li> <li>• Nazi officials often acted on their own initiative, e.g. Heydrich ordered Jews into ghettos, which eventually led to more radical policies, e.g. death camps were established in Poland</li> <li>• The evolution of genocide emerged from Herbert Backe's 'Hunger Plan', e.g. food shortages in Poland during 1941-42.</li> </ul> <p>Other relevant material must be credited.</p> |



