



Cambridge International AS & A Level

HISTORY**9489/33**

Paper 3 Interpretations Question

October/November 2023

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 40

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2023 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of **9** printed pages.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

General levels of response

Process for awarding marks:











- Markers review the answer against the AO4 marking criteria, and award a mark according to these criteria.
- Generally, the subsequent mark awarded for AO1 will be the same level. In exceptional cases, markers could award marks in different levels for the two AOs. This is because the ability to recall, select and deploy relevant historical material will be central to any effective analysis and evaluation of the interpretation.
- Responses that focus on contextual knowledge without reference to the interpretation cannot be rewarded.

Underlining is used in this mark scheme to indicate the main interpretation of the extracts.

AO4	Analyse and evaluate how aspects of the past have been interpreted and represented.	Marks
Level 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responses use the extract in a detailed and accurate manner and demonstrate a complete understanding of the interpretation and of the approach(es) used by the historian in reaching this interpretation. • These responses explain all elements of the historian's interpretation. 	18–20
Level 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responses use the extract in a detailed and accurate manner and demonstrate a sound understanding of the interpretation and of the approach(es) used by the historian in reaching this interpretation. • These responses engage with elements of the historian's interpretation, but without explaining it as a whole – they are consistent and accurate, but not complete and may cover less important sub-messages. 	15–17
Level 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responses use the extract, but only demonstrate partial understanding of the interpretation and approach(es) of the historian. • These answers identify elements of the historian's interpretation, but without adequately explaining them, typically explaining other less important message(s) as equally or more important. 	12–14
Level 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responses demonstrate understanding that the extract contains interpretations, but those explained are only sub-messages. • Responses may use a part of the extract to argue for an interpretation that is not supported by the whole of the extract, or may refer to multiple interpretations, often a different one in each paragraph. 	9–11
Level 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responses summarise the main points in the extract. • Responses focus on what the extract says, but explanations of the extract as an interpretation lack validity. 	5–8
Level 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responses include references to some aspects of the extract. • Responses may include fragments of material that are relevant to the historian's interpretation. 	1–4
Level 0	No creditable content.	0

AO1	Recall, select and deploy historical knowledge appropriately and effectively.	Marks
Level 6	Demonstrates detailed and accurate historical knowledge that is entirely relevant.	18–20
Level 5	Demonstrates detailed and mostly accurate historical knowledge that is mainly relevant.	15–17
Level 4	Demonstrates mostly relevant and accurate knowledge.	12–14
Level 3	Demonstrates generally accurate and relevant knowledge.	9–11
Level 2	Demonstrates some accurate and relevant knowledge.	5–8
Level 1	Demonstrates limited knowledge.	1–4
Level 0	Demonstrates no relevant historical knowledge.	0

Annotation symbols

ID	ID	Valid point identified
	EXP	Explanation (an explained valid point)
	Tick	Detail/evidence is used to support the point
	Plus	Balanced – Considers the other view
	?	Unclear
	AN	Analysis
	^	Unsupported assertion
	K	Knowledge
	EVAL	Evaluation
	NAR	Lengthy narrative that is not answering the question
	Extendable Wavy Line	Use with other annotations to show extended issues or narrative
N/A	Highlighter	Highlight a section of text

N/A	On-page comment	Allows comments to be entered in speech bubbles on the candidate response.
-----	-----------------	--

Using the annotations

- Annotate using the symbols above as you read through the script.
- At the end of each question write a short on-page comment:
 - be positive – say what the candidate has done, rather than what they have not
 - reference the attributes of the level descriptor you are awarding (i.e. make sure your comment matches the mark you have given)

Question	Answer	Marks
1	<p data-bbox="304 248 788 282">The Origins of the First World War</p> <p data-bbox="304 315 644 349">Interpretation/Approach</p> <p data-bbox="304 383 1326 786">The main interpretation is <u>that the historian blames decisions taken by individuals, and the mistaken assumptions made by all the nations.</u> Showing complete understanding of the Interpretation will involve discussion of both these aspects. This is an interpretation that rejects the significance of ‘impersonal forces’ and asserts the primacy of human agency in causing war. Different decisions could have been taken and would possibly have avoided war. Indeed, everyone assumed that a major war would be avoided, but they were wrong, mainly because they were driven by a fear of appearing weak. All countries’ statesmen were as misguided and mistaken as each other, but Austria-Hungary and Germany are seen as the initiators of a process to which the Entente powers reacted. Seeing Austria and Germany alone as being blamed would indicate lack of understanding.</p> <p data-bbox="304 819 432 853"><u>Glossary:</u></p> <p data-bbox="304 887 1326 1155">Early post-WW1 interpretations tended to blame Germany, but quickly a reaction against this occurred, with a variety of interpretations blaming other nations. This may be termed revisionism. The turning point in the historiography was Fischer’s work of the early 1960s which went back to blaming Germany – sometimes known as anti-revisionism. Since then, there has been a vast variety of interpretations, looking at the importance of culture, individuals, contingent factors, etc., with no clear consensus, though most historians would still place a significant burden of responsibility on Germany.</p>	40

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p>The Holocaust</p> <p>Interpretation/Approach</p> <p>The main interpretation is <u>that the historian blames the failure of resettlement plans, confirmed by defeat in Barbarossa, for the emergence of the Holocaust.</u> Showing complete understanding of the Interpretation will involve discussion of both these aspects. From 1939 the Nazis were developing policies towards the Jews that were murderous, but not genocidal. The Holocaust was not planned but emerged from a set of contingent factors. The interpretation makes no mention of Hitler, but it is clear that the historian thinks that there was no genocidal intent before late 1941. Prior to that, policy towards the Jews was confused, improvised and failing. If a label is used for the historian's approach, in L5/L6 it could only be functionalist. Any other label will be L4 at best.</p> <p><u>Glossary:</u> Candidates may use some/all of the following terms:</p> <p><i>Intentionalism</i> – interpretations which assume that Hitler/the Nazis planned to exterminate the Jews from the start.</p> <p><i>Structuralism</i> – interpretations which argue that it was the nature of the Nazi state that produced genocide. There was no coherent plan but the chaotic competition for Hitler's approval between different elements of the leadership produced a situation in which genocide could occur.</p> <p><i>Functionalism</i> – sees the Holocaust as an unplanned, ad hoc response to wartime developments in Eastern Europe, when Germany conquered areas with large Jewish populations. Candidates may also refer to <i>synthesis</i> interpretations, i.e. interpretations which show characteristics of more than one of the above. What counts is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.</p>	40

Question	Answer	Marks
3	<p data-bbox="304 248 951 282">The Origins and Development of the Cold War</p> <p data-bbox="304 315 643 349">Interpretation/Approach</p> <p data-bbox="304 383 1318 752">The main interpretation is <u>that, despite the mutual hostility that characterised the Cold War, the historian blames Stalin for causing it, as his actions and ideology left the West no option but to resist.</u> Showing complete understanding of the interpretation will involve discussion of both these aspects. Despite the fact that the first paragraph of the extract seems to characterise the Cold War as ‘move and countermove’ between the two great powers, the true focus of the interpretation is on Stalin, and on attributing the main share of the blame for the Cold War to him. He is portrayed as pushing the West into resisting his expansionist policies and rebuffing Western attempts to work with him. His hostility was derived from an ideologically based view of the West.</p> <p data-bbox="304 786 1326 920">The only ‘label’ acceptable at L5/L6 is post-post-revisionist, given its stress on Stalin, ideology and its failure to exonerate the West. Post-Revisionist and Traditional can be L4 if Stalin’s blame is properly argued. Revisionist would be L3 max.</p> <p data-bbox="304 954 432 987"><u>Glossary:</u></p> <p data-bbox="304 1021 1318 1122"><i>Traditional/Orthodox</i> interpretations of the Cold War were generally produced early after WW2. They blame the Soviet Union and Stalin’s expansionism for the Cold War.</p> <p data-bbox="304 1155 1318 1256"><i>Revisionist</i> historians challenged this view and shifted more of the focus onto the United States, generally through an economic approach which stressed the alleged aim of the US to establish its economic dominance over Europe.</p> <p data-bbox="304 1290 1326 1525"><i>Post-revisionists</i> moved towards a more balanced view in which elements of blame were attached to both sides. Since the opening of the Soviet archives post-1990 there has been a shift to attributing prime responsibility to Stalin – a <i>post-post-revisionist</i> stance which often seems very close to the traditional view, but which often places great importance on ideology. What counts is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.</p>	40