

## Mark Scheme

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	A	1	
2	B	1	
3	C	1	ALLOW +6 in the box

## Mark Scheme

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4 (a)	<p><i>Please refer to the marking instructions on page 5 of this mark scheme for guidance on how to mark this question.</i></p> <p><b>Level 3 (5–6 marks)</b> A comprehensive conclusion, using all quantitative data, to calculate the energy change and <math>\Delta H</math> values for reactions 3.1 and 3.2 <b>AND</b> linking <math>\Delta H</math> data using Hess' Law</p> <p><i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The working throughout is clearly shown. All values calculated with reasonable numbers of SF and correct signs mostly shown, allowing for ECF.</i></p> <p><b>Level 2 (3–4 marks)</b> Attempts to describe all three scientific points but explanations may be incomplete. <b>OR</b> Explains two scientific points thoroughly with few omissions.</p> <p><i>There is a line of reasoning with some logical structure. There may be minor errors in energy change and errors in the calculations of <math>\Delta H</math> for reaction 3.1 or reaction 3.2.</i></p> <p><b>Level 1 (1–2 marks)</b> Processes raw mass and temperature data and obtains a calculated value for the energy change using <math>mc\Delta T</math> <b>OR</b> attempts to obtain values for two scientific points but explanations may be incomplete</p> <p><i>There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning to obtain a value for energy change. There may be minor errors in calculation of energy change.</i></p> <p><b>0 marks – No response or no response worthy of credit.</b></p>	6	<p><b>Indicative scientific points may include:</b></p> <p><b>1. Masses and <math>\Delta T</math> from raw results</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>m(\text{Na}_2\text{O}) = 1.24 \text{ (g)}</math></li> <li><math>m(\text{solution}) = 25.75 \text{ (g)}</math></li> <li><math>\Delta T = 35.0 \text{ (}^\circ\text{C)}</math></li> </ul> <p><b>Energy change from <math>mc\Delta T</math></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>energy released in J <b>OR</b> kJ  <math>= 25.75 \times 4.18 \times 35.0</math>  <math>= 3767 \text{ (J) OR } 3.767 \text{ (kJ)}</math>  <i>(3.767225 unrounded)</i></li> </ul> <p>-----</p> <p><b>2. <math>\Delta_r H</math> for reaction 3.2</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>n(\text{Na}_2\text{O}) = \frac{1.24}{62.0} = 0.0200 \text{ (mol)}</math></li> <li><math>\Delta_r H \text{ value} = \frac{3767}{0.0200} = -188 \text{ (kJ mol}^{-1}\text{)}</math>  <i>(-188.36125 unrounded)</i></li> </ul> <p>-----</p> <p><b>3. <math>\Delta_r H</math> for reaction 3.1</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>\Delta H</math> value for <b>reaction 3.1</b> clearly linked to <math>\Delta H</math> for <b>reaction 3.2</b> and <b>reaction 3.3</b> in energy cycle or an expression:  <math>\Delta H(3.1) = \Delta H(3.2) + 2\Delta H(3.3)</math></li> <li><math>\Delta H(3.1) = -188 + (2 \times -57.6)</math>  <math>= -188 - 115.2 = -303(.2) \text{ (kJ mol}^{-1}\text{)}</math>  <i>(-303.56125 unrounded)</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Note</b> Throughout, <b>ALLOW ECF</b> from previous value <b>ALLOW</b> omission of trailing zeroes</p> <p>-----</p>

## Mark Scheme

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	<p>% uncertainties to at least 1 SF, rounded or truncated</p> <p>-----</p> <p><b>ONE</b> correct % uncertainty ✓</p> <p><b>BOTH</b> correct % uncertainties ✓</p> <p>-----</p> <p><b>mass:</b> <math>\frac{0.005 \times 2}{1.24} \times 100 = 0.8/0.81</math> <b>OR</b> 0.80 (truncated)</p> <p><b><math>\Delta T</math>:</b> <math>\frac{0.1 \times 2}{35.0} \times 100 = 0.6 / 0.57</math> (%) ✓</p> <p><b>Calculator values:</b></p> <p>mass: 0.8064516129</p> <p><math>\Delta T</math>: 0.5714285714</p>	2	<p><b>ALLOW</b> error for uncertainty</p> <p>-----</p> <p><b>ALLOW ECF</b> from mass and <math>\Delta T</math> in 2(a)</p> <p><b>IGNORE</b> % uncertainty of mass of solution</p> <p>-----</p> <p><b>ALLOW one</b> mark for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 calculations with both <math>\times 2</math> factors missing i.e. mass 0.3% <b>AND</b> <math>\Delta T</math> 0.4%</li> <li>• Not converting to %s using <math>\times 2</math> factors i.e. 0.008 <b>AND</b> 0.006</li> </ul>
(c)	<p><b>ALLOW</b> uncertainty <b>OR</b> error throughout</p> <p>Greater mass of Na<sub>2</sub>O <b>OR</b> more Na<sub>2</sub>O ✓</p> <p>For mass, <b>ALLOW</b> amount/moles/quantity</p> <p>larger <math>\Delta T</math></p> <p><b>OR</b> reduces % uncertainty in <math>\Delta T</math> ✓</p>	2	<p><b>ALLOW</b> up to 2 marks based on a single mass measurement:</p> <p>one mass measurement</p> <p><b>OR</b> measure mass directly ✓</p> <p><i>e.g. tare balance</i></p> <p>% uncertainty reduced by <b>half</b> ✓</p> <p>-----</p> <p><b>IGNORE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• repeat and take average</li> <li>• read to more figures (<i>same apparatus</i>)</li> <li>• increase volume (<i>reduces mass error but increases <math>\Delta T</math> error</i>)</li> <li>• use a cooling curve</li> <li>• use a lid</li> </ul>

## Mark Scheme

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
(d)	(i)	sodium nitrate(III)	1	<b>ALLOW</b> sodium nitrite <b>OR</b> sodium nitrite(III)
(d)	(ii)	Sodium/Na      oxidised from 0 to +1 ✓ Nitrogen/N      reduced from +3 to 0 ✓	2	<b>ALLOW</b> 1+ for +1 and 3+ for +3 <b>ALLOW</b> N <sub>2</sub> for nitrogen <b>ALLOW</b> 1 mark for elements <b>AND</b> all oxidation numbers correct, but N on oxidised line and Na on reduced line '+' is required in +3 and +1 oxidation numbers
(d)	(iii)	$2\text{NaNO}_2 + 6\text{Na} \rightarrow 4\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{N}_2$ ✓ <b>IGNORE</b> state symbols	1	<b>ALLOW</b> multiples, e.g. $\text{NaNO}_2 + 3\text{Na} \rightarrow 2\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \frac{1}{2}\text{N}_2$
<b>Total</b>			<b>14</b>	

## Mark Scheme

Question	Answer	Marks	AO element	Guidance
5	C	1	AO2.2	
6	B	1	AO1.2	
7	D	1	AO1.2	
8	C	1	AO2.6	

## Mark Scheme

Question		Answer	Marks	AO element	Guidance
9	(a)	<p><b>Equation:</b> <math>\text{Mg} + 2\text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \rightarrow (\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2\text{Mg} + \text{H}_2</math> ✓</p> <p><b>Oxidation:</b> Mg from 0 to +2 ✓</p> <p><b>Reduction:</b> H from +1 to 0 ✓</p>	3	2.6  1.2  1.2	<p><b>ALLOW</b> <math>\text{Mg}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2</math>  <b>ALLOW</b> multiples  <b>IGNORE</b> Oxidation numbers in formulae  <b>IGNORE</b> state symbols</p> <p>Mark independently from equation</p> <p><b>ALLOW</b> 1 mark for correct oxidation numbers but incorrectly linked to redox.</p>
	(b)	<p><math>\text{HCOOH} + \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCOO}^- + \text{CH}_3\text{COOH}_2^+</math> ✓</p> <p>A1      B2      B1      A2  OR  A2      B1      B2      A1 ✓</p> <p><b>CARE:</b>  Both + and – charges required for products in equilibrium</p> <p><b>DO NOT AWARD</b> the 2nd mark from an equilibrium expression that omits either charge</p>	2	1.2×2	<p><b>IGNORE</b> state symbols (even if wrong)</p> <p><b>IF</b> proton transfer is wrong way around  <b>ALLOW</b> 2nd mark for idea of acid–base pairs, <i>i.e.</i>  <math>\text{HCOOH} + \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCOOH}_2^+ + \text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-</math>                    B2            A1            A2            B1</p> <p><b>NOTE</b> For the 2nd marking point (acid–base pairs), this is the <b>ONLY</b> acceptable <b>ECF</b>  <i>i.e. NO ECF from impossible chemistry</i></p>
	(c)	(i)			
		<p><math>[\text{H}^+] = 10^{-2.72}</math> <b>OR</b> <math>1.905 \times 10^{-3}</math> (mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) ✓</p> <p><math>[\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}] = \frac{(1.905 \times 10^{-3})^2}{1.78 \times 10^{-5}}</math> ✓</p> <p>(= 0.204 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>)</p>	2	2.4×2	<p><b>ALLOW</b> 2SF up to calculator value of <math>1.905460718 \times 10^{-3}</math></p> <p><b>ALLOW</b> use of [HA]</p> <p>Mark is for working.</p>

## Mark Scheme

Question	Answer	Marks	AO element	Guidance
(ii)	<p><b>FIRST CHECK THE ANSWER ON ANSWER LINE</b>  <b>If answer = <math>2.4 \times 10^{-2}</math> (mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) award 4 marks</b>            -----</p> <p><b>Calculation of H<sup>+</sup> in buffer</b>  <math>[H^+]_{buffer} = 10^{-4.00}</math> OR <math>1 \times 10^{-4}</math> (mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) ✓</p> <p><b>Calculation of CH<sub>3</sub>COOH in buffer</b>  <math>n(\text{CH}_3\text{COOH})</math> OR <math>[\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}]_{buffer}</math>  <math>= \frac{0.204}{1000} \times 400</math> OR <math>8.16 \times 10^{-2}</math> ✓</p> <p><b>Calculation of [CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>] in buffer (in 1 dm<sup>3</sup>)</b>    <math>[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]_{buffer} = 1.78 \times 10^{-5} \times \frac{8.16 \times 10^{-2}}{1 \times 10^{-4}}</math>            OR <math>1.5 \times 10^{-2}</math> (mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) ✓</p> <p><b>Calculation of original [CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>] (in 600 cm<sup>3</sup>)</b>  <math>[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]_{initial} = \left( \frac{1.45248 \times 10^{-2} \times 1000}{600} \right)</math>  <math>= 2.4 \times 10^{-2}</math> (mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) ✓</p> <p>-----</p>	4	3.3×3          3.4×1	<p><b>ALLOW ECF</b></p> <p><b>ALLOW [HA] and [A<sup>-</sup>] in working</b></p> <p><b>ALLOW <math>1.5 \times 10^{-2}</math> up to calculator value <math>1.45248 \times 10^{-2}</math> (mol dm<sup>-3</sup>)</b></p> <p><b>ALLOW <math>2.4 \times 10^{-2}</math> up to calculator value <math>2.4208 \times 10^{-2}</math> (mol dm<sup>-3</sup>)</b></p> <p><b>COMMON ERRORS BUT CHECK WORKING</b>  <math>[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]_{initial} = 8.7 \times 10^{-3}</math> 3 marks  <i>600 and 1000 inverted</i>  <math>[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]_{initial} = 3.6 \times 10^{-6}</math> 3 marks  <i>[CH<sub>3</sub>COOH] : [H<sup>+</sup>] inverted</i>  <math>[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]_{initial} = 1.3 \times 10^{-6}</math> 2 marks  <i>[CH<sub>3</sub>COOH] : [H<sup>+</sup>] inverted</i>  <i>AND 600 and 1000 inverted</i>            No volumes used = <math>3.6 \times 10^{-2}</math> 2 marks</p>

## Mark Scheme

Question	Answer	Marks	AO element	Guidance
	<p><b>ALLOW</b> alternative approach based on Henderson–Hasselbalch equation (<b>ALLOW</b> <math>-\log K_a</math> for <math>pK_a</math>) e.g.</p> <p><math display="block">\text{pH} = pK_a + \log \frac{[\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}]}{[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]} \text{ OR } pK_a - \log \frac{[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]}{[\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}]} \text{ OR}</math></p> <p><math display="block">4 = 4.75 + \log \frac{8.16 \times 10^{-2}}{[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]} \text{ OR } 4.75 - \log \frac{[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]}{8.16 \times 10^{-2}} \checkmark</math></p> <p><math display="block">\log[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-] = 4 - 4.75 - 1.09 = -1.84 \checkmark</math></p> <p><math display="block">[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]_{\text{buffer}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \checkmark</math></p> <p><math display="block">[\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-]_{\text{initial}} = 2.4 \times 10^{-2} \checkmark</math></p>			<p><b>ALLOW</b> <math>-\log K_a</math> for <math>pK_a</math></p> <hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>		



## Mark Scheme

Question			Answer	Marks	AO element	Guidance
10	(a)	(i)	<p><b>Overall equation AND state symbols:</b>  <math>M(s) + 2HCl(aq) \rightarrow MCl_2(aq) + H_2(g) \checkmark</math></p> <p><b>STATE SYMBOLS required in overall equation ONLY</b></p> <p><b>Half equations:</b>            Oxidation <math>M \rightarrow M^{2+} + 2e^- \checkmark</math></p> <p>Reduction <math>2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2</math>  <b>OR</b> <math>H^+ + e^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}H_2 \checkmark</math></p>	3	2.6×3	<p><b>All 3 marks are independent.</b></p> <p><b>IGNORE</b> charges/oxidation numbers shown around overall equation. <i>Treat as rough working</i></p> <p><b>ALLOW</b> overall equation shown with some or all ions that are present            e.g. (<b>with state symbols</b>)  <math>M + 2H^+ \rightarrow M^{2+} + H_2</math>  <math>M + 2HCl \rightarrow M^{2+} + 2Cl^- + H_2</math>  <math>M + 2H^+ + 2Cl^- \rightarrow M^{2+} + 2Cl^- + H_2</math></p> <p>In half equations,  <b>IGNORE</b> state symbols even is wrong BUT half equations MUST only have species that change.</p> <p>For charges on half equations,  <b>ALLOW</b> <math>M^{+2}</math> for <math>M^{2+}</math> <b>OR</b> <math>H^{+1}</math> for <math>H^+</math>  <b>ALLOW</b> <math>M - 2e^- \rightarrow M^{2+}</math></p> <p>If <b>BOTH</b> half equations are correct but shown with oxidation and reduction the wrong way around, award 1 mark from the 2 marks for half equations</p>
	(a)	(ii)	<p>Bubbles/effervescence/fizzing stops <math>\checkmark</math></p> <p><b>M/metal/solid</b> has disappeared/dissolved <math>\checkmark</math></p>	2	3.3×2	<p>Responses must imply that all fizzing has stopped and that all the solid has dissolved  <b>i.e.</b> 'metal disappears' is not quite enough.            'All the metal disappears' is enough</p> <p><b>IGNORE</b> constant mass  <b>IGNORE</b> no increase in temperature</p>
	(a)	(iii)	<p><math>H^+ + OH^- \rightarrow H_2O \checkmark</math></p>	1	2.5	<p><b>ALLOW</b> multiples            e.g. <math>2H^+ + 2OH^- \rightarrow 2H_2O</math></p> <p><b>IGNORE</b> state symbols, even if wrong</p>



## Mark Scheme

Question		Answer	Marks	AO element	Guidance	
	(b)	(i)	$n(\text{CO}_2) = \frac{2.75}{44} = 0.0625 \text{ (mol)} \checkmark$	1	2.8	
	(b)	(ii)	$n(\text{X}_2\text{CO}_3) = 0.0625 \text{ (mol)}$ <b>OR</b> 0.0625 used in molar mass expression below $\checkmark$  $\text{Molar mass of X}_2\text{CO}_3 = \frac{14.57}{0.0625} = 233.12 \text{ (g mol}^{-1}\text{)} \checkmark$  Metal X = Rubidium/Rb $\checkmark$	3	1.2 2.8 3.2	<b>ALLOW ECF</b> from 4b(i)  <b>ALLOW</b> to nearest whole number  <b>DO NOT ALLOW</b> strontium/Sr <i>wrong carbonate formula</i> <hr/> <b>ALLOW ECF</b> for X from calculated molar mass <b>ONLY IF X</b> is a Group 1 metal <b>OR</b> Ag  <b>Working:</b> Mass of X in $\text{X}_2\text{CO}_3 = 233.14 - 60 = 173.12$ <b>OR</b> 173  $A_r \text{ of X} = \frac{173.12}{2}$ <b>OR</b> 86.56 <b>OR</b> 85.6 <b>OR</b> 87
	(c)	(i)	Reweigh to constant mass $\checkmark$	1	3.4	<b>ALLOW</b> response implying leaving for longer and monitoring by reweighing to constant mass, e.g. Leave flask until the mass does not change  <b>IGNORE</b> 'leave for longer' <b>OR</b> wait till fizzing stops <i>Needs link to constant mass</i>  <b>ALLOW</b> Collect gas until gas volume is constant
	(c)	(ii)	Mass ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) <b>OR</b> $n(\text{CO}_2)$ loss would be smaller <b>OR</b> Mass $\text{X}_2\text{CO}_3$ <b>OR</b> $n(\text{X}_2\text{CO}_3)$ reacted (seems to be) less $\checkmark$  Molar mass would be greater $\checkmark$	2	3.1 3.2	
			<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>		