Surname		Cen Num	
First name(s)			2
	GCE AS		
wjec cbac	B400U20-1	III II IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	eduques Part of WJEC

THURSDAY, 9JUNE 2022 – AFTERNOON

BIOLOGY – AS component 2 Biodiversity and Physiology of Body Systems

1 hour 30 minutes

For Examiner's use only							
Question Maximum Mark Mark Awarded							
1.	13						
2.	9						
3.	12						
4.	14						
5.	18						
6.	9						
Total	75						

ADDITIONAL MATERIALS

In addition to this paper you will require a calculator and a ruler.

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

Use black ink or black ball-point pen. Do not use gel pen or correction fluid.

Write your name, centre number and candidate number in the spaces at the top of this page. Answer **all** guestions.

Write your answers in the spaces provided in this booklet. If you run out of space, use the additional page(s) at the back of the booklet, taking care to number the question(s) correctly.

INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES

The number of marks is given in brackets at the end of each question or part-question.

The assessment of quality of extended response (QER) will take place in question 6.


The quality of written communication will affect the awarding of marks.



			Exam onl
		Answer all questions.	
1. (a)	All orgar Define th	nisms need to obtain nutrients from their environment to survive. ne following terms:	[3]
	(i) Ho	blozoic;	
	(ii) Sa	aprotrophic;	
	()	-p: ou op:,	
	(iii) Ph	notoautotrophic.	
	•••••		
02		© WJEC CBAC Ltd. (B400U20-1)	


B400U201 03








03





B400U201 05





05

Examiner only Cone snails are venomous, predatory marine snails. There are approximately 10000 species 2. of cone snails identified mainly by the shape, colour and banding patterns of the shell. Image 2.1 shows some of these organisms. Image 2.1 Organism **Binomial name** Common name Bursa nobilis Α The Noble Frog Shell В Conus capitaneus The Captain's Cone С **Omaria** Cone Conus omaria State the name given to the type of diagram shown in Image 2.1 and explain how the (a) diagram shows the relatedness of the cone snails. [2] (b) Image 2.1 also shows the binomial names and common names of some of the snails. With reference to organism A, explain what is meant by the binomial system and the reasons for scientists using this rather than the common names. [3]



B400U201 07

(c)	Classification of the cone snails is based upon the shell morphology. Explain how DNA analysis could be used to determine the position of organisms in Image 2.1 . [2]
	There are over 10000 different species of cone snails which indicates there is a high level of biodiversity. State how the genetic diversity within one species of cone snail could be assessed. [1]
(e)	Cone snails B and C from Image 2.1 were kept together in a tank. They interbred and produced offspring which were infertile. Explain why the offspring were infertile. [1]
07	© WJEC CBAC Ltd. (B400U20-1) Turn over	r.




Examiner only

Stomata on the surface of leaves are the main site of gas exchange in plants. 3. (a)

Stomatal density can be measured by applying nail varnish to the under-surface of the leaf to create an impression of the leaf surface. Image 3.1 shows an impression of part of the leaf surface of a mesophyte leaf measuring $0.2 \text{ mm} \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$.

Image 3.1



(i) Using a light microscope, 21 stomata were counted in the area. Use this number to calculate the number of stomata per mm². [2]

Number of stomata = per mm²

Some of the stomata shown in Image 3.1 are open. Explain the mechanism of (ii) stomatal opening which allows gas exchange to take place. [4]



B400U201 09

Examiner only

When the stomata are open, loss of water vapour also occurs. Image 3.2 shows a

section of a leaf from a plant which has adaptations to reduce this loss of water vapour

Image 3.2 upper side of leaf lower side of leaf guard cells Identify the **type** of plant which has the type of adaptations shown in **Image 3.2**. Describe **and** explain **three** adaptations shown in **Image 3.2** which reduce the (i) loss of water vapour. [4]



(b)

from its leaves.

(ii)	Suggest why the method used to obtain the impression in Image 3.1 would not be a suitable technique to measure stomatal density in the leaf shown in Image 3.2 . [1]	Examiner only
(iii)	Suggest how the method used to obtain the impression of the stomata in Image 3.1 may need to be modified if using a hydrophyte leaf. [1]	
		12

B400U201 11

Examiner only

PMT

4. (a) The head of a bony fish was dissected to remove its gills as shown in Image 4.1.Image 4.1



(i) Complete the risk assessment below for the **main** hazard in the fish head dissection. [2]

Hazard	Risk	Control measure			

(ii))	Ex	olai	n fo	our	wa	ys i	n w	hicl	h th	ne g	jills	are	ada	apte	d fc	r ga	s e	kcha	ange	Э.		
•••••														•••••								 	
•••••																						 	
•••••																						 	
	•••••																					 	



© WJEC CBAC Ltd.

(b) **Table 4.2** shows the effect of the different anatomical arrangement of blood vessels in a bony fish (salmon) and a cartilaginous fish (shark).





Table 4.2



 (i) Using evidence from Table 4.2, identify which graph (A – D) shows blood and water flow in the: [1] Salmon	flow in the: [1] Salmon			
 Shark	Shark [1] (ii) Add arrows to the two graphs chosen in (b)(i) to identify the direction of blood and water flow. [1] (iii) Explain why the salmon is more efficient than the shark in absorbing oxygen from the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) The ventilation rate in salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (low oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute.		(i)	
 (ii) Add arrows to the two graphs chosen in (b)(i) to identify the direction of blood and water flow. [1] (iii) Explain why the salmon is more efficient than the shark in absorbing oxygen from the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) Explain the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) Explain the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) Explain the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) Explain the water passing over the gills. [3] 	 (ii) Add arrows to the two graphs chosen in (b)(i) to identify the direction of blood and water flow. [1] (iii) Explain why the salmon is more efficient than the shark in absorbing oxygen from the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) The ventilation rate in salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute. 			Salmon
 and water flow. [1] (iii) Explain why the salmon is more efficient than the shark in absorbing oxygen from the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) Explain why the salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute. 	 and water flow. [1] (iii) Explain why the salmon is more efficient than the shark in absorbing oxygen from the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) The ventilation rate in salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute. 			Shark
 and water flow. [1] (iii) Explain why the salmon is more efficient than the shark in absorbing oxygen from the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) Explain why the salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute. 	 and water flow. [1] (iii) Explain why the salmon is more efficient than the shark in absorbing oxygen from the water passing over the gills. [3] (iii) The ventilation rate in salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute. 		(ii)	Add arrows to the two graphs chosen in (b)(i) to identify the direction of blood
c) The ventilation rate in salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (higher oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute.	 The ventilation rate in salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (higher oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute. 		(")	
c) The ventilation rate in salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.	 The ventilation rate in salmon can be determined by counting the number of times the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute. 		(iii)	
the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.	the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.			the water passing over the gills. [3]
the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.	the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.			
the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.	the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.			
the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.	the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.		••••••	
the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.	the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.			
the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.	the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.			
the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.	the operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate in polluted water (low oxygen concentration) was compared to the ventilation rate in unpolluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in polluted water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, the mean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.			
Suggest and explain the reason for this difference in ventilation rate. [3]	Suggest and explain the reason for this difference in ventilation rate. [3]	(C)	the c in po	operculum opens and closes per minute. In one experiment, the ventilation rate
			pollu	olluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in ited water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water,
			pollu the n	olluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in ited water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, nean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.
			pollu the n	olluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in ited water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, nean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.
			pollu the n	olluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in ited water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, nean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.
			pollu the n	olluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in ited water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, nean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.
			pollu the n	olluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in ited water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, nean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.
			pollu the n	olluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in ited water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, nean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.
			pollu the n	olluted water (higher oxygen concentration). The mean ventilation rate of salmon in ited water was found to be 15 per minute, whereas in salmon from unpolluted water, nean ventilation rate was 6 per minute.





14

Examiner only

(iii) Other factors apart from light intensity can also affect the uptake of water by a plant. Complete the table below to identify **two** other factors which could affect the water loss from the plant used in this experiment. Justify why each of these factors needs to be controlled.

Factor	Justification
	······



Examiner only

(b) **Table 5.2** shows the results from the experiment shown in **Image 5.1**.

Table 5.2

	Distance the water had moved in one hour/mm									
Distance of lamp from plant/cm	Trial 1	Mean								
10	6	5	8	6.33						
20	4	4	6							
30	3	3	3	3.00						
40	2	2	2	2.00						
50	1	0	0	0.33						

(i) Calculate the mean distance the water had moved for a distance of 20 cm. **Express your answer to 3 significant figures. Write your answer in Table 5.2.**

[2]

(ii) Calculate the volume of water taken up by the roots per minute when the lamp was 30 cm from the plant, using the formula $\pi r^2 h$. [3]

The diameter of the water reservoir was 8 mm.

 $\pi = 3.14$

 \boldsymbol{h} = distance moved by water in the reservoir

Volume = \dots mm³ min⁻¹



(iii) 	Suggest how the method could be modified to investigate the effect of wind speed on water uptake by the roots. [2]	Examin only
 (iv)	A potometer is normally used to give an indication of transpiration rate. However, the rate of water uptake by the roots is not equal to the rate of water vapour loss from the leaves. Suggest why. [1]	
 (v)	Most potometers are used with a cut shoot rather than a whole plant. Describe one precaution that should be taken when preparing the cut shoot, in order to not affect the movement of water in the xylem. Explain why this is necessary. [3]	
		18



Examiner only

The electrical activity of the heart can be measured and analysed using an electrocardiogram

(ECG). Image 6.1 shows an ECG trace from a healthy individual. Image 6.2 shows an ECG trace from a patient with a heart defect. Image 6.1 An ECG trace from a healthy individual QRS P wave T wave complex Image 6.2 ECG trace from a patient with a heart defect QRS complex ١. P waves T waves Explain how the normal ECG relates to the electrical control of the cardiac cycle. Compare the ECG traces shown in Images 6.1 and 6.2. Using Image 6.2, suggest how the cardiac cycle would be affected in a patient with this heart defect. [9 QER]



6.

		19	
			Examiner only
••••••			
••••••			
••••••			
]
19	© WJEC CBAC Ltd.	(B400U20-1)	Turn over.



E>
•••••••
 ••••••
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
••••••
 ••••••
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
••••••
 •••••••
•••••••
 •••••••



21	
	Exam
END OF PAPER	9

© WJEC CBAC Ltd.

Question number	Additional page, if required. Write the question number(s) in the left-hand margin.	Examine only



BLANK PAGE

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE ON THIS PAGE



BLANK PAGE

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE ON THIS PAGE

