



Cambridge International AS & A Level

BIOLOGY**9700/51**

Paper 5 Planning Analysis and Evaluation

October/November 2020

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 30

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2020 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of **11** printed pages.

PUBLISHED**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

PUBLISHED**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Science-Specific Marking Principles

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | Examiners should consider the context and scientific use of any keywords when awarding marks. Although keywords may be present, marks should not be awarded if the keywords are used incorrectly. |
| 2 | The examiner should not choose between contradictory statements given in the same question part, and credit should not be awarded for any correct statement that is contradicted within the same question part. Wrong science that is irrelevant to the question should be ignored. |
| 3 | Although spellings do not have to be correct, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. ethane / ethene, glucagon / glycogen, refraction / reflection). |
| 4 | The error carried forward (ecf) principle should be applied, where appropriate. If an incorrect answer is subsequently used in a scientifically correct way, the candidate should be awarded these subsequent marking points. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted. |
| 5 | <p><u>'List rule' guidance</u></p> <p>For questions that require <i>n</i> responses (e.g. State two reasons ...):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The response should be read as continuous prose, even when numbered answer spaces are provided. • Any response marked <i>ignore</i> in the mark scheme should not count towards <i>n</i>. • Incorrect responses should not be awarded credit but will still count towards <i>n</i>. • Read the entire response to check for any responses that contradict those that would otherwise be credited. Credit should not be awarded for any responses that are contradicted within the rest of the response. Where two responses contradict one another, this should be treated as a single incorrect response. • Non-contradictory responses after the first <i>n</i> responses may be ignored even if they include incorrect science. |

6 Calculation specific guidance

Correct answers to calculations should be given full credit even if there is no working or incorrect working, **unless** the question states 'show your working'.

For questions in which the number of significant figures required is not stated, credit should be awarded for correct answers when rounded by the examiner to the number of significant figures given in the mark scheme. This may not apply to measured values.

For answers given in standard form (e.g. $a \times 10^n$) in which the convention of restricting the value of the coefficient (a) to a value between 1 and 10 is not followed, credit may still be awarded if the answer can be converted to the answer given in the mark scheme.

Unless a separate mark is given for a unit, a missing or incorrect unit will normally mean that the final calculation mark is not awarded. Exceptions to this general principle will be noted in the mark scheme.

7 Guidance for chemical equations

Multiples / fractions of coefficients used in chemical equations are acceptable unless stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

State symbols given in an equation should be ignored unless asked for in the question or stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

| Examples of how to apply the list rule | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| State three reasons.... [3] | | | |
| A | 1. Correct | ✓ | 2 |
| | 2. Correct | ✓ | |
| | 3. Wrong | ✗ | |
| B (4 responses) | 1. Correct, Correct | ✓, ✓ | 3 |
| | 2. Correct | ✓ | |
| | 3. Wrong | ignore | |
| C (4 responses) | 1. Correct | ✓ | 2 |
| | 2. Correct, Wrong | ✓, ✗ | |
| | 3. Correct | ignore | |
| D (4 responses) | 1. Correct | ✓ | 2 |
| | 2. Correct, CON (of 2.) | ✗, (discount 2) | |
| | 3. Correct | ✓ | |
| E (4 responses) | 1. Correct | ✓ | 3 |
| | 2. Correct | ✓ | |
| | 3. Correct, Wrong | ✓ | |
| F (4 responses) | 1. Correct | ✓ | 2 |
| | 2. Correct | ✓ | |
| | 3. Correct CON (of 3.) | ✗ (discount 3) | |
| G (5 responses) | 1. Correct | ✓ | 3 |
| | 2. Correct | ✓ | |
| | 3. Correct Correct CON (of 4.) | ✓ ignore ignore | |
| H (4 responses) | 1. Correct | ✓ | 2 |
| | 2. Correct | ✗ | |
| | 3. CON (of 2.) Correct | (discount 2) ✓ | |
| I (4 responses) | 1. Correct | ✓ | 2 |
| | 2. Correct | ✗ | |
| | 3. Correct CON (of 2.) | ✓ (discount 2) | |

Mark scheme abbreviations

PUBLISHED

| | |
|------------------|---|
| ; | separates marking points |
| / | alternative answers for the same point |
| R | reject |
| A | accept (for answers correctly cued by the question, or by extra guidance) |
| AW | alternative wording (where responses vary more than usual) |
| <u>underline</u> | actual word given must be used by candidate (grammatical variants accepted) |
| max | indicates the maximum number of marks that can be given |
| ora | or reverse argument |
| mp | marking point (with relevant number) |
| ecf | error carried forward |
| I | ignore |

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1(a)(i) | <i>independent</i> concentration / molarity, of sucrose (solution) ; <i>dependent</i> change in mass / AW ; | 2 |
| 1(a)(ii) | 1 five stated concentrations and units (from 1.0 mol dm ⁻³ downwards ; 2 <i>idea of evenly spaced</i> ; 3 method for dilution shown for at least 2 intermediates ; | 3 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1(b) | <p><i>any six from:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 <i>idea of</i> tissue cut to (approximately) same / equal / AW, size / mass ; 2 tissue taken from the same, pepper / age of pepper / state of pepper ; 3 set up containers / named containers, containing different sucrose concentrations ; 4 same / stated, volume of sucrose solution <p>or</p> <p>enough (sucrose solution) to, cover / immerse, the tissue ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5 covering container (to prevent evaporation) ; 6 leaving tissue (in solution) for same time ; 7 method of maintaining a constant temperature ; 8 mass of tissue measured / recorded, before and after immersion ; 9 tissue dried (with paper towel / AW) before weighing ; 10 minimum of 3 repeats and calculate mean ; 11 low risk <p>or medium risk qualified ;</p> | 6 |
| 1(c)(i) | $\frac{\text{final mass} - \text{initial mass}}{\text{initial mass}} \times 100$ <p><i>1 of:</i> (initial) masses, are / maybe, variable / not the same ;</p> <p>allows qualified comparisons to be made (between masses) ;</p> | 2 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1(c)(ii) | 1 x-axis labelled and unit ; 2 line crosses x-axis (only once) and trend downwards (from left to right) ; 3 <i>idea of</i> indication that interception on the x-axis shows water potential ; | 3 |
| 1(d)(i) | –860kPa ; | 1 |
| 1(d)(ii) | <i>any three from:</i> <i>all idea that</i> 1 difficult to, place / release, drop at same point in solution ; 2 judgement (of position of drop) by eye / method 2, is, subjective / qualitative / subject to human error ; 3 measurement of mass / method 1, is, objective / quantitative ; 4 method 1 can (more easily) plot a graph (to estimate water potential) ; 5 drop might disperse / disintegrate / is unstable /AW ; 6 method 2 does not take into account different masses of tissue (before initial soaking) ; 7 importance of mixing solution once tissue removed in method 2 ; 8 method 2 has not been repeated ; | 3 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|--|----------|
| 2(a)(i) | <p>any three from:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 length of transect ; 2 sampling intervals / AW (on transect) ; 3 <i>idea of orientation / aspect / AW of, line / transect</i> ; 4 time of year / season / AW, (of sampling) ; 5 number of sampling points on each line / transect ; 6 size of sampling area / quadrat, (at the sampling point) ; 7 number of (line) transects ; 8 location of transect (in study area) / AW ; | 3 |
| 2(a)(ii) | <i>idea of use of, random numbers / coordinates ;</i> | 1 |
| 2(b) | <p><i>(identify all the plant species present and)</i></p> <p>count, number of individuals / population, of each (plant) species (n) ;</p> | 1 |
| 2(c) | 185.7 / 186 (%) ; | 1 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|--|----------|
| 2(d) | <p><i>any four from:</i></p> <p><i>max 3 for supports and p value statement supports</i></p> <p>1 <i>idea that</i> there is a, correlation / relationship, between increase in red quinine ground cover and loss of ground cover of other species (1998 – 2003/5) ;</p> <p>2 <i>idea of</i> as ground cover of red quinine increases, (ground cover of) other species decreases (1998 – 2003/5) ;</p> <p>3 as cover of red quinine increases, cover of, bare ground / no plants, increases (1998 – 2003/5) ;</p> <p>4 there is a, large / AW, decrease in, biodiversity / species diversity, between 1998 and, 2003/5, (when red quinine cover increased) ;</p> <p>5 there is, little difference / AW, in biodiversity / species diversity, between 2003 and 2005 (when quinine cover does not change) ;</p> <p>6 correct data quote to support argument ;</p> <p><i>correct use of p value award unlinked to support / not support</i></p> <p>7. correct use of $p = < 0.001$ with regards to significance (1998 – 2003) ;</p> <p>8. correct use of $p = > 0.05$ with regards to significance (2003 – 2005) ;</p> <p><i>at least 1 of:</i> <i>does not support</i></p> <p>9 no causal relationship demonstrated ;</p> <p>10 no data on animal species diversity ;</p> <p>11 no data on ecosystem / habitat / genetic biodiversity ;</p> | 4 |